

**LANA‘I PLANNING COMMISSION
REGULAR MEETING
MAY 19, 2021**

A. CALL TO ORDER

The regular meeting of the Lanai Planning Commission (Commission) was called to order by Ms. Shelly Preza, Chair, at approximately 5:00 p.m., Wednesday, May 19, 2021, online via Bluejeans Meeting No. 565 896 782.

A quorum of the Commission was present (see Record of Attendance).

Ms. Shelly Preza: This is the beginning of the May 19th, 2021 Lanai Planning Commission meeting, so thanks all for being here. If I call your name Commissioners could you just please unmute and let us know that you're here. Sally Kaye? Sorry, Sally, couldn't hear you. Did you say yes?

Ms. Sally Kaye: Yes, I'm here.

Ms. Preza: Okay, thank you. So Sally's here. John Delacruz? John, are you here? Maybe not. He's supposed to be joining us, so we'll see. Lisa Grove?

Ms. Elisabeth Grove: Here.

Ms. Preza: Thank you. Sherry Menze? I don't think she's supposed to be here. Natalie Ropa? Sorry, we couldn't hear you.

Ms. Natalie Ropa: Here. Can you hear me now?

Ms. Preza: Okay. Yes. Thank you. It's a good chance for us to all check our audio too. And Shirley Samonte?

Ms. Shirley Samonte: Here.

Ms. Preza: Thank you. And then Chelsea Trevino. I think she's not coming to this one. Okay, so we do have quorum. So five of us are here so we can get started. Thanks everyone. If you're here tuning in from the public, and always we'll just go over some of the rules for this virtual platform. So to provide written testimony then comments should have been e-mailed to Planning at Maui County dot gov. Public testimony will be taken at each agenda item. And testimony will be limited to a maximum of three minutes. I'll call on testifiers to offer video testimony. And if it's not time for testimony please mute and -- mute your audio and video when you're not testifying. And after I call on testifiers calling in via video then I'll ask if anyone calling in via phone would like to testify. And we should not be -- so we, as Commissioners, should not be contacted by the chat function so we won't be checking that. So if you have any questions or would like to sign up to testify, then please directly message Leilani. There's a chat button and you can, you can message her directly and she'll put you on the, on the schedule, so great. With that, John Delacruz, are you here now? I think I saw John come in. Maybe not. I saw John D, so I just thought maybe that's him.

B. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

- 1. The MAUI COUNTY CHARTER COMMISSION, March 22, 2021 memorandum, requesting submittal of proposed Charter Amendments and/or Recommendations from the Maui County Boards and Commissions by May 28, 2021. (*The Commission began discussions at its April 21, 2021 meeting.*)**

The Commission may provide their recommendations and amendments, and direct the Department, on their behalf, to draft and submit a written transmittal to the Maui County Charter Commission.

Ms. Preza: So we'll get started on Item B, which is unfinished business. So the Maui County Charter Commission requesting submittal of proposed charter amendments and/or recommendation from Maui County Boards and Commissions. So this was deferred from our last meeting and hopefully you've all gotten a chance to kind of read through the document. It was sent to us digitally, but also, we got a physical copy. So, yeah, I think we'll get started on that. Jordan or someone from the County, do you folks have comments on that before we get started?

Mr. Jordan Hart: Chair, we're here to record your comments and then we will clarify with you -- clarify them with you at the end -- all of the comments received. And then we can turn that into a letter to Charter Commission on your behalf.

Ms. Preza: Okay. Great. Thank you. So I guess before we start our discussion I'll open public testimony for this item. Leilani, has anyone signed up to testify?

Ms. Leilani Ramoran-Quemado: Thank you Chair. No one --. Wait. Yeah, I see Butch Gima has signed up to testify.

Ms. Preza: Okay. Thanks. Butch, if you want to unmute yourself then you can unmute your video too. You can feel free to start your testimony.

Mr. Butch Gima: Okay, thank you. I was kind of disappointed to hear about this. I disagree with the portion about getting rid of the CPAC. And I didn't really understand the rationale for it. I hope it's not because of fiscal reasons. I didn't understand the rationale between the . . . (inaudible) . . . Mayor choosing the number of the CPAC members. But I think, just want to emphasize, I think it's important that we continue having a Lanai CPAC because it will, you know, help the, the Planning Department and the Planning Commission with some guidance about what's important and what's not important to our community. And I think one of our organizations here are trying to get younger Lanaians more involved in community issues and we're recommending some of these younger Lanaians. As the new CPAC comes about, we're

recommending that they submit their names to participate on that. Because I think the last CPAC we had, I mean, the average age was probably close to 50. . . . (inaudible) . . .

Ms. Preza: Sorry, we're getting some feedback.

Mr. Gima: . . . (inaudible) . . . Say again?

Ms. Preza: Sorry Butch. John Delacruz, I think you're unmuted, if you could mute yourself because we can't hear Butch. Thank you. Sorry, continue. Sorry, I couldn't hear what you're saying.

Mr. Gima: Yeah, so to wrap it up I totally disagree with the . . . (inaudible) . . . Lanai CPAC, and I implore the Commissioners to support continued CPAC for Lanai. Thank you.

Ms. Preza: Thanks Butch. Commissioners, any questions for him? I have a question for Jordan actually. So is the -- I was a little confused. There was like --. There were a few different documents that were provided to us. So I saw the comments from the Maui Planning Commission that I thought they were suggesting eliminating the Community Advisory, you know, for the General Plan. Or, for the Community Plan, excuse me. So that was just their comments, right, or was that, is that part of the, the County's recommendations as well?

Mr. Hart: No. That was --. Well, I mean, they are a body similar to you for a different island. So basically that was provided for your information for context on what other similar bodies are, are commenting on. You know, it was basically provided in reference to the Molokai Planning Commission as well for their knowledge of what other similar bodies are doing. Molokai Planning Commission's comments are still in draft form, and so I wasn't able to have those transmitted to you. But this is just basically --. You know, this isn't a thing that --. Anyway, it doesn't happen regularly, and so this was context for your consideration in things that you might consider making comment on, and just provided as referral information.

Ms. Preza: Thank you. So that removal of the, the community advisory for the community plan, that was not a County recommendation. That was just the Maui Planning Commission, their comments on it, correct?

Mr. Hart: Yeah, so that was basically a recommendation to the Charter Commission by, like, a co-equal body, you know, to you. So it's completely independent and, you know, for consideration by the Charter Commission.

Ms. Preza: Okay, thank you so much for the clarification. Great, Leilani, has anyone signed up to testify? I'm sorry, Sally, you have something?

Ms. Kaye: Yes, I have a question for Jordan. The, the --. I know this came in late, and I understand that it's only Maui Planning Commission which is co-equal with us. But, one, what was their thinking? Why? Do you have any idea what this -- where this came from? And

under the explanation that the Planning Department, quote, conducts extensive community engagement. That may be true in the past, but there is nothing in the Charter or the process laid out in the Charter that guarantees that. So I'm surprised they would rely on that. So wonder if you could help us understand where they were coming from.

Mr. Hart: Sorry. Unfortunately, I didn't staff that meeting, but I did watch a portion of it. My understanding is that there is basically an audit of the community plan update process that was commissioned by Council. And that that subject was discussed in that audit as an option to, to basically streamline the process. And, you know, the Maui Planning Commission does review more community plans because there's just more regions, you know. And so basically they have a more direct interest in trying to streamline and simplify the process that they go through. And they're going to be going through it basically...six more times basically in each consecutive year, you know, going forward. So it's going to be of significant concern to them. It is a significant consumption of time in relation to other normal permit and application processing obligations that they have. So I think that that's some background context as far as, you know, the specific inner thoughts of the commissioner who may have recommended that. Though I can't communicate deeper.

Ms. Kaye: Okay. So that makes sense. Yeah, they have far more to do, but what they're recommending is going to impact everyone. They want the whole section removed.

Mr. Hart: Right, so, so for example, your knowledge of their comments could cause you to provide comments specific to Lanai. You know, basically the reason for sharing these things with you just so that you knew what was on the board, you know, similar processes that were going through with the other boards and commissions.

Ms. Kaye: Okay. Thank you, Jordan.

Ms. Preza: Thanks Jordan. Leilani, has anyone else signed up to testify?

Ms. Ramoran-Quemado: Thank you Chair. No one else has signed up to testify.

Ms. Preza: Okay. Thank you. And is there anyone calling in via phone who would like to testify on this agenda item? If not, then I'll close public testimony for this item. And Commissioners, we can, you know, begin. If you folks went through and had any particular comments on certain sections or recommendations for changes, feel free to unmute and you folks can share.

Ms. Kaye: Well, okay, I'll, I'll ask a general question then of Jordan. When we -- when we look at the sections that have sent with the justifications, this is what you guys have put together. Do you want us to do each one and say, yeah, we agree with that, or we disagree with it? And if there's any housekeeping such as other sections that would be impacted, do you want us to note them for you?

Mr. Hart: No, you know what --. Okay, I, I --. So...this, this -- the reason that you got these basically originates in a Molokai Planning Commission meeting. Basically Molokai Planning Commission had questions about, you know, things to consider in going about providing their comments. And so basically the comment was made that the Commissions would be provided with the, you know, other Commissions comments that were available as they come out. And so that you got those copies. I think that probably should have been explained to you earlier in the meeting for context for you. But you're not being asked to comment on their comments. You may choose to make comments because you want to make sure to point out short comings in the comments that they've made that you're aware of. But I do think that you should basically make whatever comments that you want to on any subject matters that pertains to the, the Charter. And you know, this is provided for your context. I will say that we do intend to try and maintain a similar format, and that there would be basically a Ramseyer version of the Charter that we're proposing. Whether that's a completely new item or an amendment to an existing item. And there would be expected to be some level of abbreviated explanation comparable to what you see in the version that you're looking so that the Charter Commission, you know, they may have similar contextual questions like you're having now. And the intent of trying to have them understand what the purpose of the proposed changes conveniently.

Ms. Preza: Thanks Jordan. I guess -- I guess on that, I think --. Sorry, Sally, did you want to continue with your comments?

Ms. Kaye: No. It's just that, you know, they send this I'm assuming because they assumed we'd read the Charter. And so some of the, like, the very first one Kahoolawe not being under the jurisdiction. There were two other sections where that shows up. I just made a note of them to say, yeah, if you want to do this, then you need to pay attention to the other two sections. That kind of thing. That's why I wondered if he wants that, if they want that.

Mr. Hart: Well, I would suggest that you --. I mean, let me say a few things. First of all, I believe that the Charter Commission asked for comments basically before your next meeting would occur. The comments have to be turned in. Let's see. The memo from the Charter Commission is not in this packet. It's in the prior packet. Let me get that. I believe that the comments need to be --

Ms. Kaye: I think it's May 28th.

Mr. Hart: I believe it is May 28th as well. So, so, I would suggest that you use your time to comment on, on the agenda of this Commission. Really just to reiterate, your comments provided by other -- the Maui Planning Commission was because they completed their comments in time for you to receive it for this meeting. The Molokai Planning Commission comments are not done, but if they were they would have been transmitted to you. And so that is just for your, for context. But if you want to comment on any of the subject matter that they did, you can do that. But I would say that you chart your own course and comment on whatever you want to make your priorities. But if you feel that it is necessary to clarify issues

for Lanai or even . . . (inaudible) . . . whatever you want. But don't, don't just follow their lead. You know, use your own time for your own purposes.

Ms. Preza: Thanks Jordan. Yes Richelle?

Ms. Richelle Thomson: And if you have any questions on the Charter Commission process, I also advise that Board, so if, you know, I can kind of help out on the logistic of that too. And I, I agree with what Jordan is advising you. I think our focus is primarily on the Lanai Planning Commission territory. But you're free to comment on any section in the Charter. But I definitely would encourage you to focus on, you know, probably your most important topic is the Lanai Planning Commission and then the things that specifically affect Lanai. And, you know, can also think about there are --. I'm trying to come up with an example right now, and Jordan might be able too. There are things that I know that Commissions expressed some frustrations like they're not the final decision making authority on, you know. And those things can be fixed in the Code, but they can also be addressed in the Charter. You know, the Charter is much, much, much more difficult to change. It only comes about every ten years, so it's kind of the opportunity right now to really have a strong say in that governing document. And then anything in the Code would have to be changed too by whatever changes are made to the Charter.

Ms. Preza: Thanks Richelle. How do the Commission . . . (inaudible) . . . So Commissioners with that context, any comments? I think, you know, just based off of what Butch said, I know we don't have to comment on the Maui Planning Commission's comments, but I think that one in particular where they are suggesting to erase, or not to erase. Yeah, to remove the Citizen Advisory Committees, I strongly feel like Lanai definitely needs to keep their Citizen Advisory Committees for the Lanai Community Plan. So for context, so that comes about every ten years, right, we revise our community plan. And I feel like that advisory is really pivotal in making sure that Lanai's voices are heard. And so I can't speak to what's happening on Maui, and maybe they're, yeah, process is very cumbersome. But I think especially for Lanai I would suggest that we -- you know if they do move forward with, you know, removing advisory committees that Lanai maintains ours. Do you folks agree?

Ms. Kaye: Yeah actually if I can Shelly, if I can add just a little background. If you look at page three of what the Planning Department had sent us, they want to bracket and remove "created and revised by the Citizen's Advisory Committee." And the explanation is that they don't create plans. That is actually not true. The only plan that the Planning Department initiated was the very last one. And I know that there was conversation at the time with Dave Yamashita about the best way to go through with this because previously they had been created by the Advisory Committee members, and then the Planning Department vetted it, and cleaned it up, and sent it back, and the process was started. So I would be against bracketing and removing that phrase that's in Section 8-8.5. So I'd, I'd be happy to make a motion see if there's a second and leave that in.

Ms. Preza: I wonder if we could just compile all of our comments and then maybe collectively make a motion to --

Ms. Kaye: Great. Yeah, that's fine. Yup.

Ms. Preza: Thanks. Okay. Other comments?

Ms. Grove: Shelly?

Ms. Preza: Yes?

Ms. Grove: I don't -- it's probably not my business to step on Maui's toes, but I also -- I agree completely with Lanai having its voice in the planning process. I'm also uncomfortable shutting out the people of Maui from their own process. And I may be the only person who would register that voice, but I would like it to be a county wide concerns about shutting citizens out no matter how many they have on the calendar because I think it's an important opportunity for people to speak. But, I . . . (inaudible) . . .

Ms. Preza: Thanks Lisa. Yes Jordan, when we make our, kind of collective comments, it seems like we, you know, we definitely want to see the community advisory or citizen advisory committee maintain on Lanai. Do we need to specify just for Lanai or if we preferred it just not to be struck at all, you know, from the Charter?

Mr. Hart: Okay, so basically what I had done for, for what I normally do when I work with the Commission and, and getting comment on anything, I normally take all of the notes that I hear and then I go over them at the end. And then, you know, people can basically decide if they still want to make that comment or if, you know, something is redundant or needs to be tweaked or clarified. And then generally I, I basically ask to confirm that all of the comments are, are what is supposed to be represented on behalf of the body. Now as Chair you can decide whether or not you want to vote on each one, or you can talk to Richelle about whether or not you can establish consensus, or whether or not you want to take them all up. But as far as...in my experience doing this kind of stuff, it kind of seem easier to just write down what everybody says and then sort it at the end. But that's --. Because basically otherwise you spend a lot of procedural time in the middle determining what's going to happen with each one.

Ms. Preza: Yeah, that sounds good to me if everyone is okay with kind of . . . (inaudible) . . . everything at the end and then deciding. Good. I had a comment on the --. So I know --. Sorry, so we're able to comment on all of the sections of the Charter, right, not just the Planning Commission section. So I had a comment on the Section 3-5 of this Article 3. And 3-5 is about the Procedure and Meetings; Rules and Voting. I'm not --. This is kind of open for discussion with other committee members. But, so this procedure is for, like, where, you know, the Council shall meet regularly at least twice every month, and you know, the protocols for that.

I was thinking that maybe it would be worthwhile to suggest having Council meetings occur on Lanai, and Molokai, and Hana at least once or twice a year. And I know, like, that presents some, you know, logistical challenges, but I, I thought that might be something good to suggest because, yeah, these Charter amendments don't come around often. And I think we've seen like as a rural island, as a neighbor island, we've seen like center of power concentrated in urban areas, right? Like Kahului is where all the Council meetings are, or Honolulu is where all of the governmental stuff happens, and I feel like that can kind of exclude a lot of, you know, our, our communities from that process. And so I think it is also important like, you know, Lanai people to even see the Council Members, the other Maui County Council Members because, you know, I think a lot of people probably just don't even know who's on our County Council maybe, you know. And maybe if we're able to have a meeting here once or twice a year that would help to promote more civic engagement amongst our community. But I'm not sure how others feel or if this is something we can request.

Ms. Kaye: Actually Shelly, I found like, three sections where it said similar to what you just pointed out that meeting shall be in the Council room on a certain date and whatever. But there's also 13.9 is going to accommodate videoconferencing platforms. So I was going to point out that there are three other sections that have to accommodate that if this is, is passed. So would it be acceptable to have those meetings as long as they accommodate people by videoconference rather than --. I mean not assuming that we're all going to go back to face to face anytime soon. So I'm not sure where you're going with having --. Is it okay to have it remotely?

Mr. Hart: Just briefly answer that. Yes. Council was able to meet in remote districts prior to Covid-19 and the use of videoconferencing. Generally basically Akaku was provided for those. But anyway they're able to do it under existing provisions of the Charter.

Ms. Preza: Sorry Jordan. So you're saying that they were able to come to other places, do their Council meeting, and then also stream it and like have other people participate as well?

Mr. Hart: Yeah, right. For example, let's say there was a land use decision that was important to Molokai, like, for instance capping all short-term rental homes. You know, they went to Molokai for that meeting. You know there had been others that have happened in Hana. For instance, the affordable housing project that was significant in Hana, they held their hearing in Hana. So that was done prior.

Ms. Preza: Okay. Yeah, I want to suggest that, you know, the Council physically comes here at least once or twice a year whether or not there's like a big, you know, decision on the table about a specific item just because I think it's also important for them to come and hear from the Lanai community directly. And not everyone --. I think, you know, we've seen that, you know, videoconferencing platform can open up a lot more doors for people to participate. But in some cases, you know, people are still, you know, they would prefer to just show up as opposed to like a lot of people don't know how to download, you know, the BlueJeans platform and do all the stuff. So I think that would be really good to have something in there that says

that maybe the Council is required to have a meeting, one meeting a year on Lanai, and Molokai, and Hana, just to get the community more engaged and, you know, at least --. You know, they're all, they're all supposed to representing us so I think it would be important for them to come here at least once a year. This is, you know, thinking post pandemic when this is allowed.

Mr. Hart: To clarify. Once a year on Lanai or once a year on Lanai, Molokai, and Hana?

Ms. Preza: I definitely feel like Lanai. I, I don't know if I can speak for Molokai and Hana, but I would imagine that, you know, I feel like we have similar kinds of concerns maybe about representation so I would be fine either way. But as long as, you know, Lanai is on there. That's what I care about.

Ms. Kaye: So Shelly can I ask? They always come once a year to do budget hearing and hold a Council meeting, right. So are you asking for an additional meeting of just a general meeting or what?

Ms. Preza: Yes. Well, so Jordan, they do come here once a year for budget?

Mr. Hart: Yeah. I believe --

Ms. Preza: Okay. I'll suggest twice a year then. I think twice a year would be good. And they meet at least twice a month and that would be very minimal I think, you know?

Mr. Hart: So would you like me to say twice a year or in addition to budget proceedings?

Ms. Preza: Well, if we said twice a year would that encompass the budget proceedings anyway?

Mr. Hart: I don't know. Like for instance, what if they had to come twice for budget? I don't know, I don't know the answer to that. And then the other thing I want to bring up is I do really got to know is the Lanai Planning Commission going to say Lanai, Molokai, and Hana, or just Lanai...in order to make those comments on your behalf from the Department?

Ms. Preza: I see. I don't know, other Commission members, do you think we should include the other rural communities, or should we just speak for Lanai?

Ms. Kaye: I think we should include them all. Why not?

Ms. Preza: Okay. I'm, I'm in favor of the, you know, one meeting a year in addition to budget proceedings...if that makes sense. Yeah, because I did notice --. Sorry, go ahead John, Jordan.

Mr. Hart: Got it.

Ms. Preza: Thank you. Yeah, I did notice that there is the suggestion to add in the video conference platform. And I feel that would be good, you know, moving in the future. But, I think, as we return to more normalcy in terms of, you know, more of our meetings held in person, I do hope that, you know, Lanai is kept in mind and everyone's not just, okay, we'll just video conference into Lanai because we can, you know. I think it's important for us to be able to have our physical meeting once other people start to return to that as well.

Yeah, that was my major comment on that section. Do you folks have other comments on the Charter? Any section of them, of it?

Ms. Kaye: I have a couple of questions for Jordan if it's okay. Jordan, Section 9-19.1.A. references historic or culturally important land areas to be preserved. But it doesn't indicate by whom or by what criteria. How has that been done in the past? Does that need to be fleshed out?

Mr. Hart: Sorry, can you refer me to the section again?

Ms. Kaye: Okay, 9-19.1.A.

Mr. Hart: What page are you looking at? I'm sorry.

Ms. Kaye: Okay. Hang one.

Ms. Preza: It's page 43.

Ms. Kaye: Thank you.

Ms. Preza: Hi Richelle --

Mr. Hart: . . . (inaudible) . . . right? It's talking about a fund?

Ms. Kaye: Yes.

Mr. Hart: Okay --

Ms. Richelle Thomson: I think that is --

Mr. Hart: That's --. I don't know if I'm going to be able to give a complete answer for you. My awareness of how the fund has been used has been to purchase land for preservation. But Richelle may have further to add.

Ms. Thomson: I'm also not aware specifically of open space funding used for culturally or historic important lands. I think that that language though is probably left it, you know, as

broad as it is so that it can be applied to different circumstances. I know that one of the things that has come up in terms of just the open space fund is that back a couple of years ago, under Section B that was added; performing safety and security improvements on lands acquired to this fund. I think that there was a concern just from abroad view, you know. There's been a concern that the open space fund would be tapped for maintenance, and you know, that the fund would be depleted by kind of the ongoing clasps related to it. So we have had a, you know, a number of different circumstances where the funds been either wanted to be tapped. And you know from our side we said no because that doesn't fall on those narrow, safety and security improvements. That's one area I think could may get flushed out a little bit more. But I think in terms of the purposes those were purposely left broad so that it could be applied as the different circumstances come up.

Mr. Hart: So Sally, one example I can think of is that which prompted the maintenance component of it was the, I believe, it's called Hamakualoa in Haiku region of Maui was purchased. And it's basically former pine land that's mauka of the Jaws surf break. And it's just a large, you know, open spaced area that was previously pineapple and then obviously there's always uncertainty about what's going to happen with ag land in the future. So this was money was used. This open space money was used to preserve that land area. And I'm not sure if there -- I can't think of any on Lanai that I can remember that were --. Well, I can't think of any that have been purchased there. But generally it's used for purchase for preservation of lands. Now whether or not those may include cultural resources or culturally significant features within them, generally I've seen it used to purchase open space to protect it from development. I believe that there is a chapter, Maui County Code, Chapter 3.88, Open Space Natural Resources, Cultural Resources, and Scenic Views Preservation Fund. There's, you know, that section of the Charter is fleshed out there in that code section. It does talk about the purpose being for the preservation of historic or culturally important land areas. So I think that -- just at this time I would construe that to mean potentially...for historic type culturally significant features rather than modern era construction like the Lahaina Historic District or something like that that has post-contact construction and historic features.

Ms. Preza: Thanks Sally.

Ms. Kaye: Okay, thank you.

Ms. Preza: Do you, do you have other comments Sally?

Ms. Kaye: Just one please. Section 8-8.5.3 references annual reports from the Planning Department regarding implementation of Community Plans. I've never seen that. I wonder where is that available, and has this been done every year since the plan was tasked?

Mr. Hart: That is done. I can, I can refer you to that. Our Plan Implementation Division does that report. It is, it is prepared. I should be able to tell you where exactly where to get it. But I will Google on the side and try to locate it for you.

Ms. Preza: Thank you. Actually, yeah, could you forward that to all of us because I know that's come up in past meetings. And yeah, I want to thank you Sally for bringing that up because when I read it too, I was like, oh, I would love to see that, you know, if there's been an update every year, so thank you.

Ms. Kaye: Would it be worthwhile then adding a comment saying that assuming this annual report is done, and it's available, then it should also be sent to the Planning Commission of the area in which the community plan was done? Because here we are, you know, years later and nobody has seen it.

Mr. Hart: So, so I did paste into the chat that all of those are provided on the Department of Planning's Plan Implementation Division website. Those are all available for download. And I can check with Kathleen Aoki if there's any sort of pre-standing...wording requirements or agreements with any of the bodies. But, but, you would want to enter into the Charter that the plan report is forwarded to the various planning commissions? Or the plan -- the status of implementation reports is forwarded to the Planning Commissions?

Ms. Kaye: Yes, I would.

Ms. Preza: I agree. I think, I mean, I feel like since they're preparing it anyway, if it's as simple as just kind of letting everyone know that it's there. And then we can, you know, let our community know about the status. Thanks Sally for pointing that out. Great, other comments or suggestions before we ask Jordan to kind of give us the overview of what we just talked about. Okay, if not, Jordan do you think you have enough notes there compiled that you can kind of go over with us what we've discussed and then we vote on if we would like to proceed with that as our collective comments?

Mr. Hart: Yeah. I do think that you, you may, you'll see that you might need to give me more information on some of them. The first one that I have is that Lanai would like to maintain their CPAC for the Lanai Island Plan Community Plan -- for the Lanai Island Community Plan update process. And the explanation that I had is that community involvement is an integral part of the update process. You know, please provide any mental information on that or supporting explanation there.

Ms. Preza: Thanks. And you know, now that I've had a little bit of time to think about it, I agree with Lisa that I think we should suggest that they maintain the community advisory groups for all of them. And I guess we'll see what the Charter Commission decides because we're, we're saying something from our co-equal board, Maui Planning Commission. But I agree that at least it's another form other residents to become involved in the community plan process.

Mr. Hart: Okay, well that was my number two; CPAC should not be eliminated from the General Plan updates County wide. And then I have in parenthesis from any district. And then I took the explanation prior that community participation is a necessary part of the community plan update process. Now I do want to say that, that I'm not able to do this on the fly right

now, but I would need to look for an appropriate part of the Charter to make a Ramseyer version of an update. So, do you think that I -- I'll need to either work out a situation where I would run this by the Chair, or that we're just given discretion to enter that in because I don't know that there's another meeting opportunity to do that, and I'm not sure that I'm going to be able to do a Ramseyer format for you to formally review and accept.

Ms. Thomson: And one of the things too, I know that the Charter Commission is really interested in the thoughts of the Boards and Commissions sort of however they arrived. So I think a Ramseyer version is probably, you know, the gold standard. But even if it's just really your thoughts and it's not actually put down in a formal wording, you know, that, that would be helpful to them as well.

Ms. Preza: Thanks Richelle.

Mr. Hart: Okay, so in that context I'd like to --. I was writing. I have been writing on the fly, but I'm going to re-read what I said and I'll just basically ask everybody to wordsmith or make sure that I captured the concept. I have that the CPAC should not be eliminated from the General Plan updates Countywide. And then I have basically in parenthesis from any district, and basically, you know, the message there was supposed to be that that all community plan districts in all community plans and, or island plan updates, or the Countywide Policy Plan, you know, wherever applicable CPACs are to be included in that process.

Ms. Preza: That sounds good to me. Thank you. Also Commissioners, if you have, you know, comments on that, feel free to unmute. But if not, then I'm going to take that is that we're agreeing that it sounds good. Okay, thank you.

Mr. Hart: The next one that I had is --. So now number two because the first one was deleted, it's basically 3-5, under Article 3, the procedural rules on voting. And that would be that meetings shall be held -- Council meetings -- meetings shall be held on the Island of Lanai, the Island of Molokai, and the District of Hana, at least one meeting per year in addition to budget proceedings. I can see that there's a redundant meeting, but I'll clean that up.

Ms. Preza: Thank you. That sounds good.

Mr. Hart: And then for an explanation. Does anybody want to take a shot at giving me the first pass on an explanation? What I think I heard was --. Oh, go ahead.

Ms. Preza: I'm sorry. No, you'll probably say it better than I did, but I think it's just expressing that County Council's presence in the community, the rural communities that they represent is important to help facilitate greater civic engagement from these communities. I don't know. Sorry, I'm not saying that this will directly result in greater civic engagement, but I definitely think the presence in, in all places, like, Lanai and Molokai, that we'd, you know --. Oh sorry Lisa, do you want to add?

Ms. Grove: You're rolling. I'm just going say also just say that attendance at the sort of State or . . . (inaudible) . . . meetings present considerable hardships to these communities. And so having our representation come to us would enable greater public participation.

Ms. Preza: Thank you. That's well said. Sorry Jordan, I know, it must really be hard to write on the fly so --

Mr. Hart: Okay, so I have for the reason that Council presence in the districts that they represent would facilitate engagement, and that attendance at meetings located in Wailuku represent a hardship to constituents in remote locations.

Ms. Preza: I think that's good. Thanks very much.

Mr. Hart: Final item that I have is that the Plan Implementation Division. The plan --. Sorry, let me quote the correct language on this thing. So, the annual status report on the implementation of the Maui County Community Plans shall be forwarded to each of the planning commissions upon completion of the update each year.

Ms. Preza: Yes. And can I suggest maybe and I know you're going to clean it up before you send off our comments, but maybe put that closer to the first one that was talking about community plans too so that at least they're kind of together contextually. Thank you. That sound good to me. Okay. Then that was our final comment, right?

Ms. Kaye: Actually, I think we missed one.

Mr. Hart: Okay. What is that?

Ms. Kaye: Okay, that was on page-3 of what you folks sent to us of the General Plan and Community Plans, the bracketed, create and revise by the citizen's advisory committees. We don't agree with that is from what I understood.

Mr. Hart: Okay. Okay, I'm sorry.

Ms. Kaye: Yeah. That's, I think --.

Ms. Preza: Oh, that was --

Mr. Hart: . . . (inaudible) . . .

Mr. Preza: Oh, didn't we cover that in the first item?

Ms. Kaye: Not, not specifically, and I don't want it to fall through the cracks.

Ms. Preza: Okay. Well, I guess, I'm --. That was from the Maui Planning Commission's comments. So we just want to say that we don't --

Ms. Kaye: No. No, it's not. No it's not. This is from the Planning Department. This is, this is not what the Maui -- Maui Planning Commission wanted to delete the section-4 altogether. The Planning Department recommended that we don't say that community plans are created and revised by citizen's advisory committees which as I've said is not true. They in the past have been. So I would like to just say we don't agree with that.

Mr. Hart: Let me --

Ms. Kaye: Taking that --

Mr. Hart: First of all, I didn't, I didn't write it down before I didn't realize that you were making a comment on the Charter, so I apologize for that. I thought you were making a declaration, and I am going to write it down. But I do want to say a little bit about the purpose of that is that number one that the Department has drafted the reports many times in the past, and they have tried out not drafting it and it's extremely difficult because of the timing duration of the process and drafting a document, a planning document by committee. So that is, that is some of the background. I don't know the nature of the conversation that you had with David Yamashita, but I would dispute that, that up until recently it was not done. It was done --. That was the way it was done in the past as far as I've been told by a previous --

Ms. Kaye: Not here.

Mr. Hart: Okay, I can accept that you say that. But as far as it's been explained to me by people who had been in the Department prior to me that it had been drafted. And I've also spoken to people that have been in the Department -- that are in the Department now in the context of the Planning Department's recommendations in that context, and the issue is that basically there is a hard deadline for the completion of the CPAC process. And if the Planning Department can't even start, it is very difficult to get through the timeframe that is established by, by 2.80B without having any document on day one of the CPAC's existence. So if there - - basically the way, the way it has been done and the way that is possible to meet the time constraints is that the Planning Department is doing our technical studies and drafting a report before the CPAC is formed so that there is a completed draft to present to the -- generally it's done in chapters, but it can be presented to the CPAC for review.

Let me back up. Before I just --. This is not to discourage you from making your comments at all. It's just to explain what the context of it is. But basically there is a legitimate timing issue that goes on, and logistics, and just practical issue of drafting a land -- a coherent land use planning document in a tight timeframe from start to finish by a committee under timelines is extremely difficult. But so...the context of the comment that you're making would that be a number four at the end because I didn't take it down in order.

Ms. Preza: Well, I guess I'm wondering --.

Mr. Hart: Do you have a preference? What was that?

Ms. Preza: Sorry, I had a question which was this is just --. This is just --. I mean the community advisory committee, that, that is still involved in, like, the development and revisions of the plans that are set forth by --. Like even though the Planning Department has drafted it up, there's still like the participation of, you know, providing comments, and editing, so is that correct?

Mr. Hart: Yeah. Let me, let me -- I really want to be cautious. I do not want to affect the comments that you're making. So, like, don't, don't have what I just said discourage you from putting that comment down. I'm just trying to explain that number one I don't wholeheartedly say that what David Yamashita said is true across the board because the Department has drafted those reports, or those plans before. Like they have been -- a draft has been done before the CPACs has seen them. And then the CPAC goes through essentially line by line, or chapter by chapter, and makes edits all the way through and can, you know, do whatever they want essentially. But to start that interactive process on a very complicated subject with no draft, and then operate it by committing through a timeline has proven to be very difficult for the process. So that was the, that was basically the concept behind that edit. But that, the proposed revision to be determined by the Charter Commission and to ultimately be voted on by the people, you know, that's, that's what that is.

Ms. Preza: So Jordan you're saying that the thought behind it was that the citizen advisory committee is not the ones who are writing, like, the draft or actually doing the revisions.

Mr. Hart: Yeah. Like, right, so I mean you guys are familiar with how long the Lanai Island Community Plan is and other. Like the Molokai Island Community Plan is at least that long. The West Maui Community Plan is not necessarily that long, but it's quite a complicated --. They're all quite complicated documents. And to not to even have a draft before the start is, is very difficult in function with the timeframe that is, that is established.

Let me also add something else which is not necessarily the concern of the Lanai Planning Commission, but it's a practical concern for the County of Maui and the function that the Planning Department serves is that basically there's, there's nine. And we basically -- we're very far behind on many of them, you know, decades behind. And in order to stay on schedule we basically have to do, we have to be running essentially two concurrently at all times in order to stay on a schedule of ten year updates. And then there's also the Countywide Policy Plan that comes in. And there's also the Maui Island Plan that comes in. So there's essentially you know, was it 13 documents or 12 documents that are going, that all require an extensive engagement process that go through multiple bodies for final approval. And if the Council wanted to provide the human resources to do that or if there was an openness to setting hard deadlines, you know, there would be a practically achievable task before us. But as it is, it's very difficult to do. And to also have the concept be that we can't even have a draft ready to

present to the commissions, or the CPACs, that's difficult as well. So, so I don't want to -- I'm not, I'm not trying to convince you not to tell this to the Charter Commission. I'm just trying to explain why the Planning Department made that as our comments.

Ms. Preza: Thanks. I think for me, you know, I, I -- the create and revise, that's more a technicality, it seems like, you know, in terms of you're saying that it's not the citizens advisory committee who's like creating the entire plan and everything. But I think the sentiment is that --. I mean, I think for me, as long as the people, the people of these place is involved in the process and providing their comments, and the plan is theirs even if it's not written directly by them that's, that's okay with me. But I'm not sure how others feel.

Mr. John Delacruz: Can you hear me now? This is John D. Can you hear me?

Ms. Preza: Yes.

Mr. Delacruz: Okay. What I would recommend here is because from what Butch said and what Sally said maybe we don't want -- basically we don't to be left out of the loop. And Jordan is saying because . . . (inaudible) . . . enough time to wait for you guys to create all this stuff. So what I would recommend is where you have bracketed created and revised by the citizen advisory committee just change two words. Created or acknowledged by the citizens advisory committees. This says that at least the advisory committees are in the loop whether they created it or have been acknowledged by the creators of changes to the plan. That means we always have to be in the loop whether we create it or not.

Ms. Preza: Well I . . . (inaudible) . . .

Mr. Delacruz: Whether we recommend approval or not.

Ms. Preza: I think when we look at the rest of that section, it's very clear that the citizen advisory committee is involved in the process. And so I think, for me, it's like the technicality of creating means, like, we're -- that that committee would be writing it. So I think it's fine if that gets removed. I don't even think we need to say create or acknowledge because they're going to be providing comments, right? That's the point of --.

Mr. Hart: I do want to say that it's the expectation of the Department that the CPACs, you know, they would make the plan their own. Whether or not they want to tear the thing apart or make edits, it's ultimately passed out as their product by the time it's done. But if, if you're, if you're starting from a blank slate, that is kind of a feat for basically a group of, you know, generally lay people, to form a pretty technical document in the time. So like it takes many hours of staff time before the CPAC is formulated to have all of the technical material, and, you know, for instance, drafts of the chapters ready for review. And if that resource wasn't given to the CPAC, I don't think that people would be generally happy with --. I mean, you're welcome to, to --

Ms. Preza: I think the process sounds like it would be very cumbersome if, if everyone just had to start with scratch. So, I agree. And I'm sorry I just want to point out for the rest of the commission members, if you --. So this is coming from Section 8-8.5, if you look at number - -. So this --. What they're asking to bracket out is in number five. But in the section above, four, it says that each citizen advisory committee is charged with reviewing and recommending revisions to the community plan for its community plan area. So I think it's very clear that, you know, they have power to change and, you know, make the plan representative of the community. So I don't think, you know, removing those couple, those few words in number five really changes the sentiment that we're trying to express which is that we want the community to be involved and make the plan their own. Where I think Jordan is just saying that they're not going to be writing it from scratch and doing everything and doing all of the revision because that's what the Planning Department would do, right?

Mr. Hart: Yeah, the --. I mean, yes, and I think that it's practically very difficult. But I mean, you know, in that revision -- the revised, I mean, I think that it could be interpreted that the CPAC says that they want to trash everything that the Planning Department did and start from scratch that would be their discretion. But I think that if you created a Charter amendment where that option of the Planning Department having a draft ready wasn't even legally possible, I think that would be a recipe for difficulty. But if any individual CPAC want to take the discretion upon themselves and say you know what we know how to do it, thank you very much Planning Department for your thoughts. Like, we'll look at your technical studies and we'll draft a new plan. You know, if they can do it in the time that's allotted, you know, that's great. But it's, it's -- we have a whole division in our department that does this. It's not easy stuff to do.

Ms. Kaye: You know, guys, we're chasing, chasing down a rabbit hole here. This was a one two punch. You took out this language and then Maui Planning Commission wants to delete the very section you're relying on Shelly. So that's why I raised it as a concern. I would have just clarified that historically Lanai has been able to produce a pretty non-substantive plan that the Planning Department then --. I mean, historically that's just accurate, okay. I don't know what happened elsewhere. I just -- to have section four gone all together and then created and revised removed pretty much eviscerated the community planning advisory committee so -- community plan -- so, you know what that's, that's all I want to say. You guys don't feel the same way it's fine.

Ms. Preza: Thanks. So I mean I think we kind of made our comments about the Maui Planning Commission's, you know, idea to remove but we obviously don't want that. But we were talking about the 5-3 which is just that one bracketed line. I'm okay with that removal. And I can't speak to the historical accuracy because I'm just not personally, you know, aware of all of that, so I don't think we, as the Commission, should speak to that. But, other Commissioners, how do you feel? Are you okay with that bracketed, that section? As long as, you know, we maintain the community plan, or the community advisory? Okay, I'm hearing silence.

Ms. Grove: I agree with you Shelly.

Ms. Preza: Thank you. Okay, so based on that, we -- all of what Jordan has outlined for us would, would be the comments that are sent to the Charter Commission, correct? If we --

Mr. Hart: Okay, I just want to make sure I'm clear. I have three. So then I have three comments at this time. Is that right or is that not right? I have the all the CPAC should be remained for all districts. That the status -- the status of community plan implementation report should be sent to all Commissions. And that the Council should have the meetings in the remote districts in addition to the Charter, or in addition to the budget. Was there, was there a fourth comment relating to CPAC and, or community plan drafts or not? I lost the conversation there.

Ms. Preza: I don't think so. I think --

Mr. Hart: Okay, so I have three. Okay.

Ms. Preza: Okay.

Ms. Grove: Yeah, I guess the only thing we could do is say, like, concerns were raised about the one two punch so that it's stated for the record that, you know, the one . . . (inaudible) . . . of this section and the verbiage, you know, suggests that, that it may shut the public out or whatever, whatever.

Mr. Hart: Yeah.

Ms. Grove: And flag them for concern.

Mr. Hart: I really want to really express that I wasn't trying to chill or even dissuade you guys from making any comment. So, I really, you know, want to express that. I feel like I kind of did interfere with it. How would I verbalize that, like, the concept of the one two punch as far as like --

Ms. Preza: Maybe it's just saying that, you know, the Commission expressed concern that if the Maui Planning Commission's suggestion to remove the CPAC moves forward with in addition to the County's recommendation to, you know, remove that verbiage, then it basically removes the community involvement in the community plans, and that was our concern. I'm okay with that. I understand what Sally was saying with the one two punch, but I just didn't think we were talking about the Maui Planning Commission's comments and, like, in conjunction with the other, you know? So thank you Lisa for bringing that up. I think, maybe if they could just express the comment it was a concern that came up; I'm okay with that.

Okay, so we still need to --. Oh, Sally, your grandson is so cute. Sorry, while Jordan is finishing up the comments here. So --

Mr. Hart: So I'm going to try and read what I think I have is that LPC -- I'll write it out -- but the Commission expresses concern of the proposed comments on the removal of --. I'll say for - for the removal of CPACs combined with proposed comments for the removal of the CPACs ability or responsibility to draft plans that the integrity of the community's involvement in the process may be reduced. I'm not sure integrity but --. Any word-smiting or clarification on the intent would be appreciated.

Ms. Grove: This is just sort of opportunity for public input on something like that instead of integrity? Maybe not.

Mr. Hart: I believe --. Okay, so I'm just going to try and say what I think that I'm hearing, but I don't how to write it out yet. But I believe what Sally is talking about is like the self-determination aspect of it. Like, number one that the CPACs would be removed so then basically, you know, the Planning Commissions would be the first stop before the Council. And so there's not a, a, an additional community level of drafting of the documents. So that's eliminated. And then the second one is regarding the creation of the initial drafts, and you know, whether or not delegating that to the Department only limits the community's influence on the formation of those plans. That's what, that's what I think that the concepts that I'm hearing are of concern, and so would, like, forming that into a paragraph is where I'm caught right now.

Ms. Preza: Sorry, can you repeat that second part that you just said about the Lanai drafting our own plans? I feel like that was only concerned if, if the Maui Planning Commission's comment is approved to remove, you know . . . (inaudible) . . .

Mr. Hart: Right, that would be the concept of the one two punch is that both, both pass, right?

Ms. Preza: Right. If those pass then that kind of eliminates community involvement and I think that was our concern is that we don't --. We want to, you know, maintain our involvement in developing the community plan.

Mr. Hart: Okay, so what if I would say that concern was expressed over the proposed recommendations that CPACs be removed from the process, and also that the CPACs lose the ability to draft the plans, and that that would reduce the community's involvement in the plan update process.

Ms. Grove: Yes, the combination, right?

Ms. Preza: Yes. And actually, now that I'm thinking about it, you know, if they were to move forward with Maui Planning Commission's idea to eliminate CPAC, then wouldn't that number five just kind of become -- it would just kind of disappear, right?

Mr. Hart: Yeah, and that was what I was trying to explain to you. I do want to point out that these are recommendations for consideration by the Charter Commission for their decision on whether or not they would put them forward for vote by the, the --. Richelle, can you please outline the . . . (inaudible) . . . ? Sorry about this everyone.

Ms. Thomson: So what I'm, what I'm, what I'm hearing also is that you strongly do not want any changes made to that section number four which talks about the citizen advisory groups. That you want that to remain unchanged. And that, it kind of sounds like there's not a lot of concern about number five so long as nothing happens to number four.

In terms of the process, so the, the Charter Commission is right now just gathering up, you know, everyone's opinions on both the public, boards and commissions, all of the departments. And then from there what they're going to do is they probably have, you know, a 100 for example, different charter amendments. And then they're going to decide where they want focus their time. And then they're going to whittle down the -- prune down the list most likely. They could revise the entire Charter because, you know, to start from scratch which happened --. There was a big revision back in the early 80's, but typically what they've done is just amend certain sections. And I think that they have come up with maybe 12 that would be like an average number of charter amendment that would be preferred. And then those go to the voters for approval. So this isn't --. I mean, that may be one of the other comments that, if you wanted to, say that the, you know, you would appreciate the opportunity to review their comments again before they're finalized. Or anything that has specifically to do with Lanai that you'd like to see, you know, that again. But also each of you as individuals, you know, can continue to monitor what's going on the Charter Commission and offer your own suggestions too, so this is not your final opportunity.

Ms. Preza: Thanks Richelle. Okay, so given all of that --. So Jordan, are we, are we kind of clear on what are our comments are now or --?

Mr. Hart: Yes, I think so. Well, okay so...if you, if you agree that, that what Richelle stated is, is -- represents your preferred comments more than what I read to you last, then I'll try to restate what I believe Richelle said.

Ms. Preza: Okay. Commissioners, are we okay with what Richelle outlined? I think it kind of captured what we were saying? Okay, sounds good.

Mr. Hart: So, so that is, that is that the deletion of the CPAC is of a significant concern to the Lanai Planning Commission. And the second part of that was, was that -- what interpreted Richelle said is that the second part of it was of less concern provided the CPACs remain intact. Is that right? Is that the correct understanding of what's being discussed right now?

Ms. Preza: I believe so. Richelle, that's basically what you said, right?

Ms. Thomson: Yes.

Ms. Preza: Okay.

Ms. Thomson: That's what it sounded like the discussion was to me.

Mr. Hart: Okay. Okay. Alright.

Ms. Preza: Thank you.

Mr. Hart: I got that.

Ms. Preza: Okay. Great. So with that, Commission members, so we can move on this, if anyone would like to make a motion to accept our decisions or comments? Or Richelle, are we allowed to just come to a consensus, or do we have to make an official motion to accept our comments?

Ms. Thomson: I think that's fine. You know, if there are no objections by any of the members that the comments, as stated, can be forwarded.

Ms. Preza: Okay. Does anyone have any objections? I saw a lot of nodding that it sounds good so everyone's okay? Okay, I think we are in consensus that those are our comments, so thank you very much and thanks everyone for taking the time to explain and share ideas. Because I know this, you know, was a longer conversation than I thought, but it really helps to have all the clarification so thank you Jordan for . . . (inaudible) . . .

Without any objections and by consensus, the Lanai Planning Commission forwarded their proposed Charter amendment comments, as discussed, to the Maui County Charter Commission.

C. DIRECTOR'S REPORT

1. Open Lanai Applications Report as distributed by the Planning Department with the May 19, 2021 agenda.

Ms. Preza: Okay, great. So we'll move on to Item E, which is Director's Report. So as always, C.1., our open Lanai application report. Is there's any comments on that?

Mr. Hart: And previously a request for an update on the -- just a second.

Ms. Kaye: Miki Basin?

Mr. Hart: That, that came last meeting agenda. That is in the meeting for the last meeting. But that, we did go over that at the last meeting. It was the Hulopoe Beach Park gates. So I did

review that. That appears to be proposed entry gates expressly for the purposes of closing the park during emergency situations. For instance, during Covid-19 when it was supposed to be closed, there's no gates currently. There's also potential if the beaches are closed for emergency purposes, the Department was on the verge of -- is now on the verge of granting an SMA Minor Permit with conditions that the closure only occurs in emergency situations. And otherwise remains open at all times including night time. But that's what that item is.

Ms. Preza: Thanks for following up on that Jordan.

Ms. Kaye: Jordan, can I ask is that gate just restricting vehicle access?

Mr. Hart: Well. I had it all queued up and then I closed the e-mail where the PDF is which is how I get to the file that shows the site photos. One second. One moment please.

Ms. Preza: I think Keiki-Pua can answer the question, if you wouldn't mind.

Dr. Keiki-Pua Dancil: If that's allowed. Sorry, I rather chime in so it doesn't languish to the next commission meeting. Yes, that is only vehicular access.

Ms. Preza: Thank you. Great.

Ms. Kaye: Okay, and what is, what is the Miki Basin interim uses that was entered on May 1st?

Ms. Preza: Maybe that could be --? Unless Jordan you have the answer now.

Mr. Hart: I believe I have my first meeting for introduction to that with the planner and the consultant. I believe that I have that meeting next week, so I don't actually have full knowledge of that right now. Let me check my calendar and confirm.

Ms. Preza: Well maybe you could follow up on that at our next meeting.

Mr. Hart: I can do that. Yeah, my meeting is next week, the 24th, to, to find out what that is actually. So I can report on that at the next meeting.

2. Status update on the STRH/B&B proposed changes.

Ms. Preza: Thanks Jordan. Great, if there's no other questions, then the next item, C.2., is status update on the STRH/B&B proposed changes. Sorry Jordan, do you have an update?

Mr. Hart: I do have that. Yeah, I got it from Council. So basically long story short the, the moratorium is in place, and so I believe it ends in September. So the intent of the Chair of the Planning Sustainable Land Use (PSLU) Committee was to finish the, the West Maui Community Plan as quickly as possible so the intent would not be to bring up those ordinances

until basically near the end of the, the end of the moratorium just because of timing of other issues that are, you know, of higher priority to the Chair at this time.

Ms. Preza: Is there a way we could, you know, on behalf of the -- if you folks are okay with it -- the Lanai Planning Commission requests that they do kind of go through our proposed changes prior to the end of the moratorium? Just because I'm concerned that we spent so much time thinking through our proposed changes, and if the moratorium ends before any of our changes get accepted or denied, then it would just kind of be, you know, lost work for nothing and anyone could just put an application.

Mr. Hart: Yeah. And I think that that's been thought through so I was able to --. Let me get the --. I'm just reading from the update. Basically the moratorium on transient accommodation permits ends September 26th, 2021. Council Member Paltin will likely hear the items after the West Maui Community Plan is passed out PSLU. In order to allow for two committee meeting buffer before the moratorium ends and have time for a second reading at the September 17th Council meeting, PSLU would have to schedule the, the items on August 5th. So that's basically tentatively where it is at this time based on other items that are at the Committee.

Ms. Preza: Okay, thank you. I'm glad to hear that it's at least on their, on their desk and that they're planning to review it before the end of the moratorium, so thank you for that.

Mr. Hart: And they are aware of that. I mean, they know that I'm following up on behalf of you when I do ask for a status from them.

3. Agenda Items for the June 16, 2021.

Ms. Preza: Thank you. Thanks so much. Okay, great. So if there are no other comments on that then Leilani, do we have any, or Jordan, do we have any agenda items that we know of for the next meeting, June 15th?

Mr. Hart: I'm going to have to ask Leilani.

Ms. Preza: Yeah, Leilani, anything on this agenda right now or --

Ms. Ramoran-Quemado: I don't have anything asked to be put on the next agenda, but we're still four weeks out.

D. NEXT REGULAR MEETING DATE: June 16, 2021

E. ADJOURNMENT

Ms. Preza: Okay. Thank you. Great, so if there's no questions, then our next regular meeting date is June 16th, and we'll adjourn at 6:14 p.m.

There being no further discussion brought forward to the Commission, the meeting was adjourned at 6:14 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by,

LEILANI A. RAMORAN-QUEMADO
Secretary to Boards and Commissions II

RECORD OF ATTENDANCE

PRESENT:

John Delacruz
Elisabeth Grove
Sally Kaye, Vice-Chair
Shelly Preza, Chair
Natalie Ropa
Shirley Samonte

EXCUSED:

Sherry Menze
Chelsea Trevino

OTHERS:

Jordan Hart, Planning Deputy Director
Richelle Thomson, First Deputy, Corporation Counsel