

**MAUI REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
SPECIAL MEETING
JULY 8, 2016**

APPROVED 08-26-2016

A. CALL TO ORDER

The special meeting of the Maui Redevelopment Agency (Agency) was called to order by Mr. Don Fujimoto, Chair, at approximately 1:04 p.m. Friday, July 8, 2016, in the Planning Conference Room, First Floor, Kalana Pakui Building, 250 South High Street, Island of Maui.

A quorum of the Agency was present. (See Record of Attendance.)

Mr. Don Fujimoto: Call the meeting of the MRA to order. Public testimony...floor is open for testimony if – anybody signed up? Closing public testimony. . . (inaudible) . . . Absent are Carol Ball and Frank De Rego. Sorry. So...closing public testimony.

B. PUBLIC TESTIMONY - At the discretion of the Chair, public testimony may also be taken when each agenda item is discussed, except for contested cases under Chapter 91, HRS. Individuals who cannot be present when the agenda item is discussed may testify at the beginning of the meeting instead and will not be allowed to testify again when the agenda item is discussed unless new or additional information will be offered. Maximum time limits of at least three minutes may be established on individual testimony by the Agency. More information on oral and written testimony can be found below.

**C. APPROVAL OF THE APRIL 22, 2016 AND MAY 27, 2016 MEETING MINUTES
(Transmitted to members via e-mail)**

Mr. Fujimoto: Approval of the April 26th -- oh, the 22nd, 2016, and May 27th, 2016 meeting minutes. Any questions or --

Mr. Jonathan Starr: So move.

Mr. Fujimoto: Is your motion for both? Second?

Ms. Ashley Lindsey: Second.

Mr. Fujimoto: Okay. Moved and seconded to approve the minutes. All in favor? Aye? Okay, new business, Erin?

It was moved by Mr. Jonathan Starr, seconded by Ms. Ashley Lindsey, then unanimously

**VOTED: to approve the April 22, 2016 and May 27, 2016 meeting minutes.
(Assenting: D. Fujimoto, A. Lindsey, J. Starr)
(Excused: C. Ball, F. De Rego, Jr.)**

D. NEW BUSINESS

- 1. Update from the Board of the Wailuku Community Association, (dba Wailuku Downtown Association), on their recent agendas, meetings and initiatives. (No action)**

Ms. Erin Wade: Good afternoon. Today I asked the Chair, or the President, and Vice-President of the Wailuku Community Association to come and share what they have been working on because it's directly relevant to what the MRA has been doing, and they've been very active and had a lot of community participation. So I'll leave it to Ashley Leahey and Kristin Holmes.

Ms. Ashley Leahey: Hello.

Mr. Fujimoto: Hi.

Ms. Leahey: I'm Ashley. This is Kristin. So, like, Erin said, I'm the President of the Wailuku Community Association. Kristin is our Vice-President. So we're just going to briefly go over what we've been doing; we're rather new. As of 2016 we kind of revived it at the beginning of the year. Jonathan is actually also on our board, as a board member. And so we kind revived it from, from scratch this year, and came up with kind of new vision and how we can really support your efforts that you folks are putting forth in Wailuku Town.

So I guess that I'm going to briefly go over what we've been working on thus far. If I forget anything, please feel free to add in. So I'm going to pass this around, this is our application. On the top of our application, we just have this very simple diagram that has Maui County and other, under what Maui County and what you folks are supporting, and I guess your project goals are infrastructure, long term planning, funding and policies. So our, our mission is basically to be the voice of the community and the advocacy organization to support and work with the County's redevelopment efforts. So the reason why the Wailuku Community Association is here is to support your efforts and be that communication vehicle between the County, and the merchants, and the public, and the rest of the community. So I'm going to pass this around so you can guys can take a look at it. Sorry, I only brought one.

And so what we did, like I said, at the beginning of 2016, we got together, got a board together. Our current board members are the two of us; Patrick Eng in our Treasurer; Richard Priest is our Secretary. Also on the board, we have Jordan Hart, Jonathan Starr, and David Johnston. So what we -- when we first came together, it was kind of a lengthy meeting on brainstorming and just ideas. Erin was there as well from -- you know, to help us through our visioning, and to let us know how we could help you folks in your efforts.

So what we did was came up with these sections. We came up with Events and Programming, Marketing, Community Interaction and Conversation, and Maintenance and

Management. So I'm going to briefly go through those, what we have done, what we will be doing, and kind of what our vision is for the rest of the year and for the future.

So as far as Events -- is that me? I'm the static? Okay, there's nothing that I can do? Okay. So for Events and Programming, first we're focusing on Wailuku First Friday. Our goal right now, what we're trying to work on is to become the fiscal manager for Wailuku First Friday. As you know, all four parties, all four towns have a party every week. We're actually the only town that continues to use Tri-Isle as our non-profit, or the, the vehicle that all of our funding goes through. Because they take such a big cut, a lot of money isn't being used as wisely as it could be if it were going through somebody like the WCA. So it kind of took us to get all of our ducks in a row, we have that ready now. We're waiting on a couple more pieces of paperwork to come through, and then we're working with Yuki, who's the event coordinator, to get the new money that's available as of July to come through the WCA rather than go through Tri-Isle.

We also, in addition to that, being that we are going to be fiscally responsible for the event, we wanted to take it a step further and create a vision, a visioning workshop for the event. It's been going for, I think, 10 plus years now, and as a board we felt like, you know, it kind of was time to revamp it, bring people from the outside in to just, again, revision, set some goals, just kind of reorganize the whole thing, and give it a little more energy and some new energy. So that's also on our to-do list within the next month or two. At our last board meeting, we kind of came up with a handful of names, and I know Erin has a handful of names too, so we're putting our list together and we're going to have, just again, a visioning workshop to focus on where we want to see First Friday going, and how we can manage it a little more, a little better and more efficiently.

Also under Events and Programming, we're currently applying to become a Blue Zones Community. I'm not sure how familiar any of you folks are with it, so I'm going to do like a really quick five minute overview on what Blue Zones is. So what a Blue Zone -- let me look at my notes -- so basically we're, as the WCA, we're a managing State application process . . . (inaudible) . . . to apply so our statement of interest is due at the end of this month. Should we be chosen as --. Should we be chosen --. Okay, should we be chosen after we turn in our statement of interest, they're going to basically come up with -- come to us and we're going to present why we should become a Blue Zone is September, and then they're going to make their community selections after that.

So what a Blue Zone is it's a community wellbeing improvement initiative. So that's the Blue Zones project. The goal is to make healthy, healthy choices easier through permanent changes to environment policies and social networks. So if we are chosen, we'll be given a staff and all the resources we need to turn central Maui -- we're applying as central Maui for greater impact, not just Wailuku town. So we'll be given all the resources and a staff to become a healthier, happier community.

A little bit more background on this -- so basically Blue Zones is something that is guy

named Dan Buettner started so he researched five different towns throughout the world that had the most . . . (inaudible) . . . so basically they had the most people that lived to be over 100 years old. He went to all these places, put together a bunch of research, and found what all of these communities do consistently that made them so happy and so healthy. So he came up with these, what he calls the Blue Zone Power Nine. And so these again are the nine things that these people in all of these communities do consistently which is why he says they're so healthy and happy as a community.

So the Power Nine -- move naturally, know your purpose; down shift so, you know, basically don't work all the time, know when to turn off your phone; eat wisely, just things like smaller portions. We've all heard that before. Eating till you 80% full rather than stuffing yourself. More veges, less meat, less processed food, drink a glass of red wine each day -- personally my favorite. The longing, creating a healthy social network; connecting reconnecting with religion or some type of culture, some type belief; and then prioritizing family. So he put together the Power Nine, and basically that's what he had started this initiative through. So what we're doing right now is to again apply. We are telling them that we are interested. HMSA has -- is sponsoring this throughout the State. I believe it started last year, and they picked, I think, three or four communities last year as sort of test communities. They're picking two more this year, and so that's what we're applying for right now. Again, this is at no -- everyone's first reaction is how much is this going to cost me? You know, how do I support this? What do I do? This is at no cost to us. We just have to show that we have a supportive community so should we be chosen, it will be beneficial to us and impactful, and it's, it's a community driven initiative. So it's not like they come in and do everything for us. They come in and they provide the resources to help us -- to help us through it. So that's another project that, that we're heading, currently, in our Events and Programming category.

So the next section we'll talk about is Marketing. So as far as Marketing is concerned, again, Blue Zones, if we're awarded we will be implementing the Blue Zones program. It's kind of just really easy free marketing for Central Maui including Wailuku town if we are a Blue Zones. If we do get chosen as a Blue Zones community, it's kind of, you know, easy marketing, marketing will come with that.

Hana Hou Magazine, we actually have story on Wailuku Town that we facilitated that is tentatively going to come out in Hana Hou magazine in October, November. I think October, November, if not a little later in the year, but we definitely, they're working on the Hana Hou story on Wailuku Town right now. So in terms of Marketing, I can't even think of any better marketing that we could get so I think that's a benefit to us.

As far as our future vision for marketing, we do want to eventually have town wide marketing campaigns kind of facilitate the umbrella marketing campaign that covers the merchants being that we're all small businesses, and small landowners that, you know, everyone in town, we want to be to provide a little, not just management, but, you know, maybe a little bit of money to help with advertising costs and things that small businesses in

Wailuku otherwise probably couldn't afford.

Next is Community Interaction and Conversation. So we've had two public meetings. Our plan is to have a public meeting at least once a quarter. So we've had two public meetings so far. We had one in April and then we just had once recently, June 30th. And so our first one, I'd say we had about 25 to 30 people. This last one, I rented 50 chairs and that was not enough chairs, so we actually, we packed the room. Thanks to Jonathan and Helen we used one of their spaces, and, you know, we're able to project there, we have a sound system, it's actually a great a public meeting spot. So we've had a couple public meetings where we've put up a few flyers as well as we have, we have an e-mail list of all of Wailuku's past members; we have merchants, we have landowners on it, and the list continues to grow.

So through these public meetings and --. I have hard copies of everything we've done, so I'll leave this with you folks if you want to look a little more, through it a little more detailed. But basically what we're doing again is to be a communication vehicle throughout the community. We kind of want to be a one-stop shop if you want to know what's going on in town. So presentations that we've had through our community meetings have been updates on the municipal parking lot. Erin and Dave came to talk about what's going on now, the timeline, the plans for the municipal parking lot. We had a presentation on urban transportation policy and multi-modal roadway design options that happened to be on the same day that they had the workshop that day, so we also had a presentation at our public meeting.

Uptown Chevron did a presentation at our last meeting about their -- I know you folks are all aware of this -- but about of their restaurant expansion that they're doing. Then we had Maui Academy of Performing Arts, they presented their future acquisition infill plans that they have in hopefully acquiring that spot on Main Street. We also had a presentation by Blue Zones. So we had a workshop where the Blue Zones and the HMSA staff came to Maui, from Oahu, and we had a workshop for leadership, also on the 30th. So we had that during the day, on Friday, or -- I don't know what day that was. On the 30th, we had a workshop for leadership just to kind of get support and explain what the Blue Zones Project is. And then that night they presented at our community meeting as well. So I kind of spread the word and garnered support. And I'm happy to say it's been very positive, all of our feedback at these meetings, the questions, the comments, specifically on Blue Zones, has been very, very positive and people have great ideas. You know, even with, with Uptown Chevron and with MAPA's plans, everyone has been very, very supportive. So I think it's been great that we're able to have this one meeting place that everyone can come and find out about what's going on.

Lastly is Maintenance and Management. So, what, step one for us to do was to update our bylaws. So we had the WCA bylaw, which, I guess, started at the -- were, were drafted at the beginning at the WCA and never really looked at again. So we wanted to make sure that our bylaws reflected our goals and what we wanted to do moving forward and was very

specific to our community association. So we updated our bylaws, approved that our last public meeting so we have brand new bylaws that have been amended again from its prior form to specifically focus on Wailuku town and our vision.

One of the biggest changes was that we lower the membership fees. They were a little pricier compared to other community associations around the island, so we looked into that and we lowered that. We wanted it to be all inclusive. We wanted to include as many people as possible.

And then, again, for Maintenance and Management, our future vision is to take on a clean and safe program, a safety ambassador program. We really want to focus on safety throughout the streets. Being a small business owner, I know how important that is. We have four girls working in our store, so I personally want to prioritize safety in the upcoming months. Landscape; more events through the town; it sounds like they might have a few events for us to start working on. And, so, you know, events outside of First Friday, we've all seen how successful First Friday has been for such a long time, so we think it's time to take it up a notch and do a couple more. And then eventually, other online updates, social media updates, just again, trying to be the voice of the community, make it easy for all of town and all of Maui to know what's going on in Wailuku town.

Our current membership is right now, we have 12 merchant members with about four -- actually I think we have six individual members and then our current supporting membership is 500 plus. So we have an OK membership and it's still growing. We are sending out applications every time we send out e-mails, and we're getting few back all the time in the mail so it's been rather consistent for us being so new.

That's about it. Did I miss anything Kristin? Do you have anything to add?

Ms. Kristin Holmes: I have a couple of things to add.

Ms. Leahey: Yeah.

Ms. Holmes: I have a couple of minor things. When the association formed earlier this year, we came together with some loose ideas, and they've come together in a really nice, organized format. And as we've gone along the ideas that have come our way particularly the Blue Zones have brought a new life to Wailuku that hasn't existed before. And it's a new focus. It's taking off the negative of what happening, what's not happening because we're able to show some of the positive things that are going forward, and that's really a good, positive feeling when you go out there to the members.

The other thing that I want to mention is that we pushed around ideas on how to best reach people in the community and the idea that we've landed on is whenever we have something that we're going to really need to get out, we're going each board member is going to take a block, and we're going to do a block by block type of thing where we've got flyers or

handouts or whatever it is we want to communicate. And we'll take our block and we'll go in and knock on the doors of everybody there so we can expand the reach beyond what we have on our database and we won't be doing mail through the mailing system. We're going to do it one on one, face to face, and that --. You know, we can do things via e-mail, but over the next few months is we can build a personal connection by doing this individual...face time. I think that's going to really help the face of the organization to get to be what people recognize as part of what's helping to make the change with the help of the County. What we can do with our part, and what the County can do. For me it's really exciting to see something uplifting and meaningful for us to work on, so that's pretty much what I wanted to add there.

Mr. Fujimoto: Great. Good job.

Ms. Leahey: You folks have any questions?

Mr. Fujimoto: You folks have, like, an office?

Ms. Leahey: We don't have an office. Well, like, a WCA office?

Mr. Fujimoto: Yeah, yeah.

Ms. Leahey: No, we have a mailing, we have a P.O. box, a mailing address, but we don't have an actual working order office.

Mr. Fujimoto: And you know, like, you were saying fiscal manager for Wailuku First Friday, so is it funded just from your membership fees?

Ms. Leahey: Well, First Friday gets two grants. We get one from the HTA and we get one from the County, so yeah, so it's, it's mostly funded through those grants and then we also get monies through vendors. So that's, that's where the First Friday money comes from.

Mr. Fujimoto: Any other questions? Jonathan?

Mr. Starr: I just have a comment which is, you know, I mean, we kind of did start out by wanting to be receptive and reactive and help the County and help, you know, the ongoing programs in Wailuku. But something has changed which I feel really is positive is that Wailuku Community Association or Wailuku Downtown Association is taking the lead, and creating, you know, kind of a progressive movement forward with Blue Zones and other, you know, design ideas, and kind of more energetic, kind of a younger face of the, of the community. And I've been thinking about that and wanted to express that I think, you know, we're being proactive and not just reactive in a way.

Ms. Wade: Thank you.

Ms. Leahey: Thank you. Thank you. I have -- so I'm going to leave this packet with you folks. These are our updated bylaws, our applications, all of board meeting minutes, our public meeting minutes, and any press. We actually made it to the front page after our, after our last public meeting, so this is just kind of a packet of what we've been doing. And I also have a packet of just a little more detail on the Blue Zones and that initiative. Thank you very much you folks.

Mr. Fujimoto: Wait, I have one question.

Ms. Leahey: Yes? I knew he wasn't going to let me go easily.

Mr. Fujimoto: I was cleaning up my mom's house, yeah?

Ms. Leahey: Yeah?

Mr. Fujimoto: I found all these old stuff, you know, like from old merchants that used to be in Wailuku, like yardsticks, and you know, I mean, is there like any use for that?

Ms. Leahey: Yeah. Wait, like, what do mean, what kind of stuff?

Mr. Fujimoto: Like, had a yardstick from Valley Isle Hardware, I mean, Valley Hardware, or, I mean, a Cost Dry Goods, and that kind stuff.

Ms. Leahey: Yes. Yes, please. I'll find something to do with it.

Mr. Fujimoto: That's why I was asking -- that's why about your office because there was something -- because if not, you know, we'll just throw it away.

Ms. Leahey: No, don't throw it away. We'll find something to do with it.

Ms. Wade: I'll tell you where to find Ashley.

Ms. Leahey: Yeah, no, we'll find something to do with it. I mean, also, you know, we have -- we've had in the past six months millions of ideas. These are the ones that we're being realistic and running with. But we've have had other ideas of, you know, doing photo exhibits, doing art exhibits, just kind of more, again, more events and more things to draw people into Wailuku, so that would be perfect for something we do in the future.

Mr. Fujimoto: Okay.

Ms. Leahey: Thank you.

2. Selection of Market Street banner design and commitment of funds for

printing and installation. (Action may be taken)

Mr. Fujimoto: Okay, thanks a lot.

3. **Presentation on the Powers and Duties of the Maui Redevelopment Agency as detailed by Chapter 53 of the Hawaii Revised Statutes, and the limitations of its current organizational structure. (Erin Wade)**
4. **Creation of a Temporary Investigative Group (TIG) established pursuant to Section 92-2.5(b)(1), Hawaii Revised Statutes, to research and provide recommendations to the Maui Redevelopment Agency regarding alternative organizational structures. (Action may be taken)**

Ms. Wade: Okay, we have deleted the second agenda item for New Business so moving to the third item, the presentation of the powers and duties of the MRA as detailed in Chapter 53. At the last meeting you folks had asked how do we go about fulfilling the duties identified in Chapter 53, and we had put on the agenda the creation of a Temporary Investigative Committee in order to discuss it. But there was some concerns, like, why are we doing this? Is this the right way that we should be moving forward? And so instead of creating the TIG you folks deferred it and asked for some additional information so I created a memo that summarize sort of what we see as the checklist. That's what you folks asked for was a checklist. So the memo that I provided to you is in your packet.

But essentially the way that this came about, and the WCA is part of this in all honestly because from the time I started with the MRA and prior to me, the planners all before me even, their primary responsibilities had been permit application reviews and therefore was what the MRA was doing; and then some of the things that WCA is doing now, but in an awkward way because doing marketing from an MRA's perspective, the MRA doesn't represent the business community. So them to say we're going to market under the half has always sort been a misfit. Even though it's in the plan that it's something that the MRA could work on, it's never been really a good fit. We've had to go out to the merchants anyway, you know, and figure out what it is they would like. So working in partnership and what we saw at the International Downtown Association Conference is there's almost always, if not always, a merchant organization or a, a, an organization on the community side that's acting on their behalf. And so now the things that the WCA is picking up and doing is very consistent to what we saw at International Downtown Conference.

So then that begs the question well what does the MRA do? Is it just to review permit applications? And over the course of the last four or five years, the MRA has said, you know, we really want to start doing some of the capital projects that we've, everyone's dreamed about us doing for so long. So how do we go about doing that? Well then the Council in their most recent budget deliberation said, well MRA just do it, it say you're supposed to be doing. In Chapter 53, it says you can buy property. It says you can

undertake, or take on contracts for development. But then going through and determining, well, how do we go about that, the reality is the MRA is not set up to do those things. Right now, it's a commission of the Department of Planning, just like the Planning Commission. In fact, there is no differentiation between the Planning Commission and the MRA right now. It's basically an advisory board. So when the MRA commits now to let's say, the clean and safe contract, for example, it's Director Spence who signs the contract with that vendor. It isn't Don Fujimoto, Chair of the Redevelopment Agency.

So what I did was with the checklist, the question, what's preventing the MRA from acting as Chapter 53 envisions. Which is also, frankly, what the Maui County Code says the MRA should be doing. It just hasn't been set up to do that. So Maui County Code says we are establishing a Maui Redevelopment Agency per Chapter 53 of Hawaii Revised Statutes.

So there's really in terms of the checklist as I listed there's four primary things. The first is the MRA does not have control over its own budget, which is the contracting thing. So as it states in Chapter 53, the MRA cannot sign or manage its own contracts on its behalf, and therefore cannot purchase goods or services or hire its own staff because it doesn't have the budget authority. But that this one thing that HRS 53 suggests. It's also unable to purchase, lease, or sell lands which is recommended as an activity that the MRA would have the authority to have. It cannot receive outside funds without it going through the County Council. So because it is essentially -- it is -- there is no differentiation between the MRA and the County itself. It is -- and the only way for the County to receive funds is either through the fees structure or an acceptance from the County Council.

And then finally because there is no predictable source of revenue which typically is a taxation structure of some sort, it cannot issue bonds, and that's how we would typically invest in large scale capital improvement projects. So you have to be able to show you have income stream in order to take out debt. It's just like if you're going to buy a house, you have to show how you're going to pay back the debt. So because of those things, the board as it is now cannot operate the way that the Council is suggesting, or some members of the Council is suggesting we should. So that begs the question, well, is that what the MRA wants to do? So I think that's the real question for the TIG is now that we've, you know, kind of outlined what are the barriers, is that the role that the MRA wants to play or not. And so with the TIG then I outlined sort of three things that the TIG probably should discussed and then making some decisions.

So item one is the organizational structure. Does the MRA become -- does it not change and remain just a board or commission. Does it do follow basically HRS 53, the first part of it that says it becomes an independent entity and as it refers to in the law, it's an instrumentality of the County. So we now have an example the metropolitan planning organization is similar in that there are cooperative agreements between that organization and the County of Maui that that organization takes on some of responsibilities that the County previously was doing with some understandings of who's participating. So that's Option B.

Option C is and this is also in HRS, it's just not the option that the County Council previously chose is to create a cabinet level position under the Mayor's Office that is...manages redevelopment. It's a redevelopment coordinator, and then that's where the staff would lie. That would require a Charter Amendment though because it's the creation of a new department essentially.

So Option A is obviously the easiest, you know, we remain as the same, but it does say we're not going to do any of this stuff that, you know, we otherwise would be authorized to do. Option B...is going to require a series of agreements to be created. But, Council in theory is already authorized the creation. The biggest, the biggest hurdle, frankly is the predicable source of revenue because the question --. And this leads to Item 2, is it worth creating an independent organization if it doesn't have a revenue source? Because even if all of the other things were, the organization was created, it doesn't have the funds it still can't hire staff or buy property or any of those things. So that's Item 2.

And then Item 3 is let's say there is a preference to go with either Option B or C. Does the law as it's written now work in the best manner for Maui. And we already know, you know, Jonathan was able to determine at the Legislature that there are some changes from other counties that they want to see making amendments to Chapter 53. So can we organize as a group to propose a series of changes. Not this year, probably not in the immediate term, but once a decision have been made how the MRA should proceed, we can add those to the...the association of County's package, so it goes up as a whole. Yes?

Mr. Starr: Two things. One is that, that rewrite of 53 is going ahead for this coming year so we need to be a part of that no matter what because the language that is moving forward would have made us not, just not able to function.

Ms. Wade: Right.

Mr. Starr: And would have forced every member to be a resident inside the MRA and a number of other things. So one way or another we do have to be a part of that...project. And second is I think I believe that there's a fourth option which a lot of cities and, and towns use which is to have a sister organization which may not, you know, may not be exclusively the members of the MRA might have some Council members or, or department directors. But participation and people just kind of do one meeting and then change hats and do the other meeting, so I think that may be another, another option.

Ms. Wade: Yeah. So the follow up to that -- originally when we had the market based plan done that, that option D was really the option, and I honestly if a, if a TIG was created I sort of expected it to end up at that, with the conversation that the hybrid was sort of the best case scenario of how to go about it. And often times the way that works is you -- and I actually did bring the graphics that the original market based plan suggested. And this was when the Main Street Association was there, but frankly you can essentially cross that out

and replace it with community association. But that the Redevelopment Agency still continues to function for the things that it always has done, and then all these other groups come together for whatever the partnership organization is, as you were saying, and does the much bigger projects. The question with that still becomes what is the funding source and that all ties back to, how do we fund it.

So I guess the questions on the table are, first, is the MRA interested in having this dialogue. Secondly, how do you want to format the dialogue? Do you want to do it as a meeting as a whole or do you want to do it as a committee? And then third, what is the agenda of that -- the group going to be, whether it's a whole or a committee. Do you want -- does this outline that I have proposed to you sounds appropriate.

Ms. Ball: So if we do a committee, we form a committee as a whole right, we don't have to do all the thing like . . . (inaudible) . . . required to do otherwise? Can we just talk?

Ms. Wade: So if you do the temporary investigative committee, it's much more casual, or the meeting format there is much more casual. There's summary notes and you provide a report at the end.

Mr. Michael Hopper: But there can be only two of you on that, on that --

Ms. Ball: Oh, it's the sunshine and all that stuff.

Mr. Hopper: That doesn't apply, but you can only have two members. Yeah. You can meet separately and have discussions, so the sunshine does not apply to you, but there can only be two of you. It's obviously a little bit different if you've got a nine member board, that means you can have four people, so that's a little different. But yeah since you only have five, you would need two. But that group of two can go and talk to other people in addition to members, you know, not just other -- as long as you can't do members. Or, actually you can't do members, you can do anybody else and, and discuss the item with them and report back on your findings based on those discussions.

Ms. Ball: I'm trying to see if there's a way that we can...you know, like the quickest route. Whenever you have another meeting . . . (inaudible) . . . as a group, the group has to get up to speed and all that. I mean it was just reading, I thought, oh my god. So maybe our Council could tell us what would be the most expeditious way to accomplish this with all these rules?

Mr. Hopper: Well, each member could look at those items on their own, you could have the investigative group, you could have staff look into them, or you can do it all at a meeting if you like. I think those are your options as far as meeting. Yeah, you could have a group get together with staff of two. I think that's what was on the agenda for today, and get together with staff and meet with them and discuss all of the rules with options -- which way you'd like to go, recommend that to the rest of the body what the two members would like to

do. And then the -- if the full body votes to take action in a certain way, then that could be a position of the body that could be, could be set forth to the Council as far as sending in a package to the legislature. As far as maybe authorizing the Chair or certain members to negotiate that position on behalf of the membership in discussions with the State, or with State Legislature which Jonathan has obviously stated that he's been following. And so those are certainly options. I think it seems like the idea was to have potentially have either a TIG set up or the full body look at these options, discuss them, and...and pick one of them or, or both of them, get direction, and then head in that direction as far as...what the State Legislature would maybe need to do and approaching the Council about the ordinance amendments or HRS amendments, things like that.

Ms. Ball: I know I came in at the end for that discussion but, you know, I was looking at the HRS, what kind of amendments are we --? What are required? Because it looks, you know, it looks pretty comprehensive. The only this I was wondering about was this office of urban renewal . . . (inaudible) . . . But all those, all looks like implementation. Maybe I didn't understand it.

Mr. Fujimoto: So is the bill going through HSAP or just, just --

Mr. Starr: The bill which was started in Donovan Dela Cruz's office which they were not aware anyone was using this existing act. They thought that it was . . . (inaudible) . . . and so they were turning it into something very different, and specifically it was being used to create a financial incentive for the development of the TDA? Yeah, TOD on the rail line and also Sand Island. And so they were creating a body to oversee the, you know, the financial incentives for development. And also, I think even some of the State ground leases and other stuff. And it was supposed to be people who almost lived in the TOD -- lived in the TOD. And it hit a wall when at one of the meetings they realized that Sand Island which was part of it, there's no one who lives there except homeless community. So they was -- they were mandating that those were the only people who could, you know, on the, on the board. So they decided it's going to come back. But there were a number of things in it that would have basically stop the MRA. And they were very surprised to hear that --

Ms. Ball: That we cared?

Mr. Starr: No. That Maui actually had a redevelopment agency that uses that.

Ms. Ball: Right. As, as -- it sounds like as usual, Oahu takes over and thinking that they have the loudest voice and have the most going on, and unless we say wait, wait, you know, this is what we're doing, they ignore it and I don't really think they do it intentionally, it's that we are not actively . . . (inaudible) . . . But the way I understand it, it's an enabling act is the thing that gives us the authority to do anything at all, is that right?

Mr. Hopper: Well, yeah, the State law gives you that authority and as well as the Maui County Code, but I think it was that State law that had come out and it was the idea behind

the MRA to begin with. I mean, I wasn't here obviously when that happened, but that's what my understanding is.

Ms. Ball: Right.

Mr. Starr: The revision, I think the most basic part of the revision was to create an entity that could slow . . . (inaudible) . . . bonds...direct . . . (inaudible) . . . bonds, which frankly would be a great tool for, for Wailuku to have.

Ms. Ball: As long as it wasn't so specifically . . . (inaudible) . . . that possibility right. So tell me again then what, what part of this? Is that the thing that we're talking about, about the amendment?

Ms. Wade: The amendments that would be helpful, and this is only if the MRA gets created exactly per HRS. This is not if we do the hybrid organization like the graphic shows, but to expand the board. In all honestly, most – I don't know of any other community where it's limited to a five member board having participants that are part of the administration and part of the County Council is kind of critical in order to keep the information flow consistent, and for those folks to have an understanding of the back story. You know when we now just sort of go from Council member to Council member, they haven't heard the back conversations, you know, that you folks have that are very positive and lead to decision making -- lead to your decision making. It's hard for them to attract. We just say here's what's happening and then we kind of have to take all of these backward steps to educate, and frankly a lot of them have really good ideas that would be helpful if they were providing them as part of the dialogue.

Ms. Ball: And, and, you know, it gives them ownership. When they have ownership then they're much more motivated . . . (inaudible) . . .

Mr. Starr: Going, going to the International Downtown Association conferences, what I was asked repeatedly -- and I'm sure you heard that in San Francisco is, oh, you're with the redevelopment agency. You know, what funding sources do you use TIF? Do you use, and then they would list the other ones. And don't you have CDC or a business improvement district to actually operate your district. And, you know, and I said, no we have none of that. We're just kind of a citizen group trying to do what we can do. They were like shocked, well, how do you anything? You know, you don't have anything, you're being sent out there without, you know, broke without any tools. So this is I think about getting the relevant ability to do this stuff.

Mr. Fujimoto: I don't know; I have a suggestion and next meeting is 24th? 22nd? No, I think the memo that Erin wrote, I mean, is real good. I mean, it kind of leaves me with a lot of questions. So maybe by the 22nd if we can get questions from the Board.

Ms. Ball: Is this July 22nd?

Mr. Fujimoto: Yeah. And then we can provide it to staff and maybe like in the September meeting – is there a September meeting?

Ms. Wade: No, because of HCPO. Oh, wait, yes, there is, we moved it up.

Mr. Fujimoto: OK. So maybe in the September meeting you guys can do your presentation, and then we maybe we could make a decision on which way we're going to go. Or, do you think that's enough time or --? Jonathan?

Mr. Starr: I mean I, I frankly feel that we should start doing some, some research. I like to -- you know I, I've served on a bunch of TIGs and it's a real easy way for, for -- to delegate a couple of the board members to just start looking, looking at things with staff and talking without -- there's no ability to act. You know, the whole idea is if it's less than a quorum and less to take to win a vote. I mean I frankly feel like it may not be a bad idea to just start doing that and then come back with some things that we're not maybe going to be able to act on it at the next meeting, but at least it can help further the discussion.

Mr. Fujimoto: Okay. So would you be willing to be on the TIG?

Mr. Starr: Yeah, I, I would. And -- sure. Would you be willing to be on the TIG?

Mr. Fujimoto: No. Yeah, okay, so I guess Jonathan and myself. And you know...I don't want to rush it, but on the other hand I think we need to know where we're going. And ultimately we probably want the decision to be made, I mean, enough so that the public's here, you know, public hearing or whatever it is, just so that we're not working in a vacuum. Because stuff like what Erin talked about about getting revenue and stuff, I mean, that really scares me. You know, I mean, I don't want to -- I don't want us to end up be like some kind of another Wailuku town thing that . . . (inaudible) . . . you know?

Mr. Starr: I have a question for our, for our legal eagle here. With a -- when you create a TIG you kind of have to set up, set out the scope of what you're going to do and I think that in this case it's purely investigative to look, to look at some of the options and permutations and then report back to the, to the Agency. Now when you report back you can't actually take action at that subsequent -- at that meeting when you report back. You have to have an additional meeting where you could take action. But say, say we form a TIG and we have, you know, maybe two meetings with staff, and you know, make whoever else we want to talk to, and then we report back on the 22nd. And say that there's a feeling that after that the MRA's not ready to take action but we want to continue having a TIG to meet again and then report back at another meeting. Can we just come back at an MRA meeting and say this is what we found out but we want to do some more work and just kind of bounce it and then come back again in September and then not take action until the subsequent meeting after that.

Mr. Hopper: The only thing I'd caution is that it's not, it's not like a standing committee forever. It is to do a certain goal. I suppose that you could -- the challenge is that it says no decision making or deliberation can occur until after the reporting back. I think -- I think if the TIG reports back and you, you don't have -- you don't take action or have deliberation at that meeting. You have another meeting and you have deliberation. I would, I would want you to put on the agenda for consideration...also the TIG item to say that if you wanted to assign the TIG, it's kind of same thing you have here, I want that to be on there so that if there's a decision to reassign it to the TIG that happens and then, then you're -- then you have to cease deliberation and decision making if you decide to do that; once you decide to take that vote. And then it's with the TIG, and the TIG can come back and do that. As long as you don't -- I think you have to be careful not to have a simultaneous consideration by the TIG, and discussion and deliberation by the MRA itself.

Mr. Starr: Can't have two tasks going.

Mr. Hopper: Yeah. And the hassle maybe that, that once that happens you got to cut that off and then you got to wait another two meetings, another meeting in between before you can deliberate and discuss the item. But I think I understand what you're saying and I think you can do that in the event that if, if you come back and then there's more remaining duties that you want to give the TIG or, or assign new tasks to the TIG, you'd want to agendize that and just make sure you're not simultaneously have the TIG and this group discussing that at the same time.

Mr. Starr: I mean I think that's -- I don't think this is something easy, nor should, should it be taken lightly, but I think it's good to start it knowing that it's going to, you know, it's going to be a little while before we . . . (inaudible) . . . But I don't think just to have a TIG operating kind of in the dark for months and months and doing lots of stuff about really reporting back and letting, you know, letting everyone know . . . (inaudible) . . .

Mr. Fujimoto: So --

Ms. Ball: We don't want that because?

Mr. Starr: I just think there's too much value in the MRA, and I just kind of feel like it's maybe disrespectful for something to just have two members kind of going off without being able to report back on what they're doing.

Ms. Wade: Well the reality is there will be dozens of decisions points along this, and at each of those points this small group's gonna want to check back with the MRA, you know because each is going to lead you to a series of different alternatives.

Ms. Ball: Right, right. But is this going to be laid out ahead so it's not it's not like, oh, I forgot about this, and if not I'm glad I thought of that. I mean . . . (inaudible) . . .

Ms. Wade: Right.

Mr. Hopper: Yeah, you do have to assign the TIG its duties relatively specifically as far as what you want them to be looking at, and they really can't go beyond that. So, as part of this motion -- I think Jonathan started it -- you'd want to say what things --. I mean, you can keep it a bit broad, but you just want to make sure you don't stray outside of what that is. And then it's clear enough from the public who would want to attend today from, from -- what that, what that group is assigned to, to do what that group would be doing. So, I think you have it on the agenda. I think it's essentially going to be that, but I think that should be part of the motion, and then the assigned duties should be from the -- as part of the action taken by the body.

And you have a memo here that Erin did that you could --

Mr. Starr: Yeah, I, I would think reference -- I would think reference the memo and also maybe reference the -- what was Brad's report?

Ms. Wade: The Market Based Plan.

Mr. Starr: Yeah. Reference to Market Based -- recommendation from the Market Based Plan, and maybe even --. I wouldn't even go so far to say, to research and provide recommendation. I would say research and provide preliminary information...to the Maui Redevelopment Agency.

Ms. Ball: Because you think recommendations . . . (inaudible) . . .

Mr. Starr: Yeah.

Mr. Hopper: And that's stated on your agenda so I think that that's fine, and, and what it would have . . . (inaudible) . . . yeah, since that's clarified. And then you can get into specific things that you're going to do or not. You can say may include but not be limited to the following items. But I don't think that's necessary. It's essentially use any power that the two members can have including meeting with other people, fact finding, research, etcetera, and to report back on those, on those findings at, I suppose, whenever the TIG is completed. Inform Erin and she can put that on the agenda.

Mr. Starr: What's, what's the date of our meeting?

Ms. Wade: July 22nd.

Mr. Starr: I'm not going to be there. I'm leaving for Philadelphia. I mean Don can certainly report, report back, and they, that they continue, want to continue working with Don and Frank, or Don or Carol, could do the next, the next couple of --

Ms. Wade: The issue honestly with the 22nd, so Dave and I are going to be in Portland next week with the Parks Department. And I'm going to have to publish the agenda for the 22nd Wednesday the 13th, or I'm supposed to get to Leilani Wednesday the 13th. It's got to get published on the 15th. So I don't know how much content we're going to develop between now and the meeting of the 22nd.

Mr. Starr: Yeah, I mean, realistically even have any -- between now and the 18th to start researching this?

Ms. Wade: Well, I mean, that's up to you guys. I won't be here.

Mr. Fujimoto: I don't think so.

Mr. Starr: Yeah, I don't either.

Mr. Fujimoto: Is there something you can send in as far as legislation . . . (inaudible) . . . ?

Mr. Starr: Did you have the bill that they were --

Ms. Wade: Yes. I have the link to the bill.

Mr. Starr: Yeah, Erin's got the bill.

Mr. Fujimoto: Okay.

Mr. Starr: And I, I think it would be a good idea if one of us or someone were to reach out to Donovan and...other people -- you know, the others were going to start. I heard some time in August they're going to start discussing this. I know that one of the -- one of the parties that we helping to move this forward was the...what do you call the -- the group of unions, carpenters, and the...contractors? So that might be a...a good action, so we become a party to that discussion.

Ms. Wade: I just want to mention when I talked with David Raatz -- Raatz -- about participating with legislative amendments, he was strongly recommending that we start working with the Chair of the EAR Committee, Council Chair Don Guzman, because that's who the legislators are used to deferring to when there's issues that arise from the County. They defer to the...Council Services and the Council member responsible. So he suggested we sort of brief Council Member Guzman and inform him of what we're doing and get him to be sort of our conduit.

Mr. Fujimoto: Yeah, I was just concerned because, like, County has their own lobby and things like that, right?

Ms. Wade: Right, right.

Mr. Fujimoto: So we don't want to . . . (inaudible) . . .

Ms. Wade: Right. That's what Raatz was saying.

Mr. Fujimoto: OK.

Ms. Wade: So that could be something that we accomplish before the 22nd; set up the meeting with Don Guzman's office, inform him of our awareness of the bill, Chapter 53 changes, and then that we have -- that we want to participate on the dialogue on this. That's a reasonable thing to accomplish.

Then the other thing I could do is I do have copies of articles of incorporation and cooperative agreements and things like that that other communities have used when they do create a development entity so you can see sort of how mechanics would play out. You know, what exactly would we be committing to, and what the County would be committing to if we created something per Chapter 53.

Mr. Fujimoto: But even in terms of...meeting with Councilman Guzman...we need to decide ourselves what our position is, right, on the bill? What, what items do we want to amend or whatever.

Mr. Starr: We don't know on, you know, what kind of stuff it's going to be.

Mr. Fujimoto: So, I mean, you know, I can see meeting with him in terms of telling him that this is something we need to be aware of and what kind of stuff but to actually ask . . . (inaudible) . . .

Ms. Wade: Oh, no, I must have misspoken. What happens is we provide him with the awareness that there's something moving that we think that could affect us.

Mr. Fujimoto: OK.

Ms. Wade: Then he can provide Council staff, Council Services' staff to assist us with any recommendation so I guess that's basically --

Mr. Fujimoto: OK. That's fine.

Mr. Starr: Yeah, but I do think, you know, along with, working with Don Guzman and Council staff and all that, I'm sure if we want, if we want to and everyone is good with it, it would be really good for one of us to actually be in the room when they put, put that bill together in the Senate. And I'm sure the Senate majority would enable us to do that if we so want.

Mr. Fujimoto: OK. Where do we want to go from here?

Ms. Wade: So the question is are we moving forward with number four, to discuss the creation of the TIG, or are you deferring that?

Mr. Fujimoto: Do you want to -- we want to defer to the --? Oh, you won't be here the next meeting. And I won't be here at the next meeting.

Mr. Starr: I mean, do we have time -- would we have time to maybe, you know, do a meeting? Are you around next week Erin?

Ms. Wade: No. I'm in Portland with Dave.

Mr. Starr: So, I mean, there's really no one for us to meet next week so it's kind of a moot point. I'm away for a month.

Ms. Ball: Well, what's the deliberation again?

Ms. Wade: The question is do we -- is there a point in creating the investigative committee at this meeting? Or, the other alternative would be to defer this again, and in the mean time I can provide you some additional information. In fact, you know I've provided, like, a Dropbox folder where you can get resources. I can add -- I can put another folder in there and add resources that you can look at in that folder so it's just sort of a background.

Mr. Starr: How about we create it but we know that we're not going to be able to really start working till after about the 15th of August? Then we start working on it.

Ms. Ball: Do we have to determine our goals now, though, when we create it? How definitive do we have to be? Is that why we're going to defer it because we don't know?

Mr. Hopper: Well, defer if you don't form the committee that lets you discuss this item at your next meeting. If you do form it, your next meeting, you're going to have to hear the report back but you can't discuss or deliberate. If you don't think that there's going to be a lot of productive TIG activities between now and the next meeting, you may not want to form the TIG because then at the next meeting -- heck, you could take action on this item, you actually make recommendations, you could form a TIG, you could expand it. But if you do have the TIG in the meantime -- if you think the TIG could be productive between now and then you would just form the same TIG anyway, then I don't think it's a big deal to create the TIG. It would just limit your ability to discuss the items that the TIG is dealing with which if you either make them broad, it does prohibit the body from discussing those broad items --

Ms. Ball: OK.

Mr. Hopper: -- at the next meeting. So, yeah, I would leave it up to the body, but if you actually do think that there's not much should be doing between now and then, and you

know, I don't know if the Chair meeting with Don Guzman or even Erin meeting with Don Guzman and saying these are some items that we'd like you to let us know, I don't know if that's a TIG required function. It could be, but I think if the Chair wants to do that, or if Erin as the staff wants to just let him know that, you know, there's items going through and we want you to flag them or to, you know, as much as possible as long as it's just, you know, the Chair and maybe Erin, you may not need a TIG to do that. But that's up to the body, but that would be one possible reason for not forming a TIG and giving the body more freedom to do what it wants at the next meeting. You could put that on the agenda, but once you act, because you're authorizing two members to act outside of the scope of the sunshine law, then the rest of the body can't really get into those topics until later.

Ms. Ball: OK. Good, that's very helpful for me anyway. I thought it would be the other way around that we would expedite the things that we do . . . (inaudible) . . . restrict our . . . (inaudible) . . .

Mr. Hopper: Yeah, I'd say the only item that the TIG would do is meet Don Guzman or meet with the Council about that. That may be something you can find a way to do without the TIG. The TIG items would really be if two members wanted to do something together as a specific task in researching or meeting with staff. But if it's just something that -- so I'd leave that up to the body on how you want to approach that.

Mr. Fujimoto: Okay.

Ms. Ashley Lindsey: Unless you form a TIG that's like breaks down this large duty into three small duties and then they're, like, more specific instead of broad, then they could --

Mr. Fujimoto: Yeah, I think, yeah, but I think the bigger issue is that we won't have staff during the next week so, and the next meeting is on the 22nd, so that's why. I think that's a bigger consideration. So if no objections I'll entertain a motion to defer.

Ms. Ball: So move.

Mr. Fujimoto: Any second?

Mr. Starr: Second.

Mr. Fujimoto: Discussion? All in favor? Motion passes.

It was moved by Ms. Carol Ball, seconded by Mr. Jonathan Starr, then

VOTED: to defer Item D4.
(Assenting: C. Ball, A. Lindsey, J. Starr)
(Excused: F. De Rego, Jr.)

Ms. Wade: I will create that Dropbox account regardless just so the resources are there. I've been doing it.

Mr. Fujimoto: OK.

E. DIRECTOR'S REPORT

1. Updates

- a. Wailuku Municipal Lot Paving**
- b. Wailuku Parking and Events Facility**
- c. Iao Plaza Blessing**
- d. Wells Park Master Plan**
- e. Policy Updates**

Ms. Wade: Director's Report. The municipal lot was paved on 4th of July weekend so that is get out your skateboards, it's nice and smooth. So that was a big deal. I was extremely impressed with Kuono Builders. They were the contractors. They notified in person and with flyers with the hand bill everyone in surrounding the property on both sides of the street. And then we also put out some notices. But by the time I made specific phone calls of people who I knew had cars in the lot, and they all said, oh, I got the notice, so that was fantastic. That almost never happens.

The Wailuku Parking and Events Facility, the -- we got in all of the qualifications. So it was posted as one of the County's items that was going to go out to bid this year. We got in 27 sets of qualifications; people interested in participating on that. We had a meeting this morning about developing the short list and will be identifying the folks for the short list coming up, trying to get the interviews on August 8th. So that's our goal to interview teens on August 8th.

The Iao Plaza Blessing, hopefully you guys got the reminder notice that it's scheduled for July 29th at 9:00 a.m. I hope you all can be there. Yeah, hopefully I'll have -- I'll be able to confirm something slightly more exciting with that, but I just need to wait two more days until I can do that.

The Wells Park Master Plan update, Dave, did you want to provide the update on that?

Mr. David Yamashita: Yeah, the project officially started yesterday. They were given the notice to proceed and so the outcome is that a master plan for what Wells Park will look like once it's redeveloped and the connection to the MRA is that Wells Park is really an important piece of Wailuku town, and so it really is an important piece of what happens in the town. So we're really excited about that.

We've just been walking around with the consultant team yesterday. We were just talking

about all the different elements and thinking about how the pools and the gym fit into this. I think the overall vision at this point is that it's kind of a recreation slash health kind of wellness complex, and it helps you to think about it in different ways. The pool and the gym are part of it. Even the aikido...operation is part of it. But -- and there's a big open space and we're brainstorming about ideas. We have a great consultant team, and so we're both really excited about it. We even have a project for...for Ashley and Kristen of WCA, on just something we can do in the interim before it's developed that would generate excitement.

Ms. Wade: You should share that. It's such an ah-ha moment.

Mr. Yamashita: OK. Well, and it's, it's -- I think an example of how we could take what is a lemon really and turn it into lemonade. If you know Wells Park, you know, there are these huge fences next to the tennis court. I mean, they're so large. Yeah, we were looking this thing and I'm thinking this is really kind of an architectural feature in kind of perverse way. So the question is well what do you do with this? And I started thinking, well, if we call it public art maybe it makes it better. Anyway bottom line is we thought, well, with these big -- this big expanse of chain link fence, why don't we hang a big sheet or something and have movie night at the park. And so, anyway, we started talking about how, yeah, that would be fun. People sit on the grass and then there's a flat area next to the drainage ditch which is really perfect for food trucks. So you can have all of these food trucks lined up and something for the little kids and then projecting out onto this big screen against that chain link fence and it will be maybe our hope a precursor to what could be another event space for the, for the park. Anyway, so Ashley and Kristen just found out about it.

Ms. Wade: Right now?

Mr. Yamashita: Well, I actually told Ashley about half an hour ago so she's had lots of time to think. Anyway.

Mr. Starr: I, I want to put an idea that maybe you could take back to...to the group on that. I find that one of the limitation in Central Maui is that there are very few shaded picnic tables. I know that quite often Helen and I will take out lunch from somewhere and we'll go all around trying to find a place where we can sit in the shade and eat our lunch, and sit at a picnic table . . . (inaudible) . . . Like at Keopuolani it's not, it's not happening. We found five in all of Central Maui. So, I really feel that if there's a way to get shaded picnic tables then more people will tend to walk to, to the park and eat their lunch there. Whereas if the only table is out in the open . . . (inaudible) . . .

Mr. Yamashita: Yeah, and I should say too, I mean, we noticed that yesterday in just walking around. I think there are two trees out there that provide shade; two or three.

Ms. Wade: We lingered under them.

Mr. Yamashita: Yeah, we did linger quite a bit. I just wanted to mention one last thing too, what's really neat about this project is that the Parks Department -- and really it's their project -- but with Brianne as the Deputy, Erin and Brianne and I have had many conversations about this so this is a great example of interdepartmental cooperation and coordination as well. So hats off to the Parks Department.

Ms. Wade: And for their vision too to make this a pilot for what future parks can be on Maui, so that's the exciting thing, I think, is that they've sort of said, hey, let's, let's pull out the stops and see what we could actually make these parks, how we can make them incredible for all ages, very exclusive so that's exciting.

2. Projects Out to Bid

- a. Public Arts Master Plan**
- b. Parking Management**

Ms. Wade: The projects out to bid, the Public Arts Master Plan, I wasn't able to get three quotes, so I'm going have to do a bid on that in order to meet the required -- the requirements of the Finance Department.

And then the Parking Management we had to rebid. I think I explained to you folks because of a cost issue. So that's rebid and we're hoping to get those quotes back in August, so we'll move forward quickly once that's all set.

F. NEXT MEETING DATE: July 22, 2016

G. ADJOURNMENT

Ms. Wade: And next meeting date is July 22nd.

Mr. Starr: Subsequent meeting date?

Ms. Wade: Subsequent is August -- and Don, you're going to be away, correct, in August?

Mr. Fujimoto: Yeah.

Ms. Wade: It's August 19th -- oh, 26th.

Mr. Fujimoto: Anything else?

Ms. Ball: Do we have something for September?

Ms. Wade: We do. The September, I think we moved back because -- oh, back, the 30th.

Ms. Lindsey: I won't be here for the next meeting also.

Ms. Wade: The 22nd? Okay, so two. We better check with Frank because we might not even have quorum. I'll check with Frank.

Mr. Starr: I would like to attend HCPO. Is there a way to get some help there?

Ms. Wade: So I think our --. Well, how about we talk after.

Mr. Fujimoto: Okay, anything else? If not, adjourned.

There being no further business brought forward to the Agency, the meeting was adjourned at approximately 2:19 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by,

LEILANI A. RAMORAN-QUEMADO
Secretary to Boards and Commissions II

RECORD OF ATTENDANCE:

Members Present:

Carol Ball, Vice-Chair (from 1:36 p.m.)
Don Fujimoto, Chair
Ashley Lindsey
Jonathan Starr

Members Excused:

Frank De Rego, Jr.

Others:

Erin Wade, Small Town Planner, Current Planning Division
David Yamashita, Staff Planner, Long Range Planning Division
Michael Hopper, Deputy Corporation Counsel