

**MAUI REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
REGULAR MEETING
JUNE 19, 2009**

Approved 08-07-09

A. CALL TO ORDER

The regular meeting of the Maui Redevelopment Agency (Agency) was called to order by Ms. Alexa Betts Basinger, at 1:00 p.m., Friday, June 19, 2009, in the Planning Conference Room, First Floor, Kalana Pakui Building, 250 South High Street, Wailuku, Island of Maui.

Ms. Alexa Betts Basinger: Good afternoon everyone. The June 19th, 2009 meeting of the Maui Redevelopment Agency is now called to order. Members present include myself, Alexa Betts Basinger, Raymond Phillips, Katharine Popenuk, Robert Horcajo, and Warren Suzuki. Joining us also today are staff James Giroux – Corporation Counsel, James Giroux; staff Leilani; and staff Erin Wade. The meeting is called to order. First on the agenda is the approval of the minutes of May 15th, 2009. Members, has everyone had a chance to review the minutes? I'll receive a motion.

B. APPROVAL OF THE MAY 15, 2009 MEETING MINUTES (via email)

Mr. Robert Horcajo: I move we accept the minutes from the May 15th, '09 meeting.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Second?

Mr. Raymond Phillips: Second.

Ms. Betts Basinger: It's been moved by Robert Horcajo and seconded by Ray Phillips to accept the minutes of May 15th. All in favor say aye.

Board Members: "Aye."

Ms. Betts Basinger: Opposed? Minutes are approved.

It was moved by Mr. Robert Horcajo, seconded by Mr. Raymond Phillips, then unanimously

VOTED: to approve the May 15, 2009 Maui Redevelopment Agency meeting minutes as presented.

C. PUBLIC TESTIMONY: Testimony will be limited to three (3) minutes per testifier. At two minutes, thirty seconds, a thirty second notice will be given. With the recommendation of the Chair, an additional three minutes may be granted.

Ms. Betts Basinger: We move on to public testimony. If there's anyone in the audience that wishes to give public testimony on any of the agenda items today, please step forward. You will have three minutes and an extra minute to conclude. Seeing no members come forward, I close public testimony at this point, and we'll move on to our business, board.

D. REQUEST BY DOYLE BETSILL, for an interpretation of whether condition of approval 1. "That the project shall be started within two (2) years of the date of approval (January 21, 2005) and completed within five (5) years of the date of commencement," of the March 9, 2005 decision and order written on behalf of the Maui Redevelopment Agency, requires the developer to simply commence the permit process within two years or to begin construction within two years; TMK (2) 3-4-013:060, Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii (MRA 2004/2007).

Ms. Betts Basinger: D, request by Doyle Betsill for an interpretation of whether condition of approval, (1), that the project shall be started withing two years of the date of approval, January 21, 2005, and completed within five years of the date of commencement, of the March 9th, 2005 decision and order written on behalf of the Maui Redevelopment Agency, requires the developer to simply commence the permit process within two years or to begin construction within two years. Erin?

Ms. Erin Wade: Thank you very much. This project came before the MRA in 2005, and was approved with a number of conditions. The one that is listed here in your agenda, condition one, requires them to start within two years. Generally the Planning Department incorporates this condition but it says "begin construction within two years." So because this is very ambiguous and the Planning Department agrees – we never use this condition anymore. We've retired this wording – we felt that it would be worthwhile for the applicant to come and determine whether or not the MRA felt it was reasonable to determine that "start" meant begin with the building permit process. The other reason we feel that –. And Planning Department supports this request because they also filed a compliance report in a timely fashion and were not told at that time that they required an extension of their permit because, I think, because of the way that this condition is worded – the "started" instead of "begin construction." So, we believe that the applicant has acted in full faith and compliance with the conditions, believing that they were in compliance. So, we're just requesting that you take a look at determine or interpret whether or not "started" can mean begin the building permit process. And the applicant is in the audience.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Members? Any discussion first, or should we –? Warren?

Mr. Warren Suzuki: Thank you Madame Chair. I guess from my perspective, I can appreciate where the condition, as worded, may not be as clear as need be, but how has –. Because I'm sure this condition was not worded for just this specific approval. I'm sure it

was worded this way for other approvals that were granted. So the question I have is, for the other approvals that were granted with this particular wording as a condition of approval, how were they interpreting it?

Ms. Wade: The only history that I really have on this is this project went through three planners in terms of the their processing. So it began with one planner who ended up writing up the conditions. A second planner began following the process through, and a third planner approved the compliance report. So there was not a very high degree on consistency in the reviewing process. And this is the only time I pulled up – between 2005 and today – I pulled up all of the approval letters, and this is the only time this condition was worded this way. So I'm not sure why that is the case, but it is.

Ms. Katharine Popenuk: Chair?

Ms. Betts Basinger: Katharine?

Ms. Popenuk: An applicant wouldn't really have control over when construction might begin. Perhaps the permit process would drag on for years and years, and the applicant wouldn't be able to, in any way, make it happen in two years, perhaps, beyond his or her control.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Ray?

Mr. Raymond Phillips: Do we have any idea what the schedule is?

Ms. Betts Basinger: The applicant is in the audience. Did you wish to call him forward?

Mr. Phillips: Mr. Betsill? Great. Thanks.

Mr. Doyle Betsill: And let me add, Chris Hart's office is assisting us, and they're late. I think I can handle the questions. If there's one I can't answer, we'll have to get Brett to assist, but to kind of address the question that Katharine had. We've actively been pursuing the building permits since we were approved by the MRA. And to date, we still do not have a building permit in hand. There's just been a whole series of – it probably never happened to anyone else – but a series of additional hoops that keeps being added to the process as we progress so that we've never been able to actually hold a permit in our hand in spite of ongoing consistent efforts to do so. The latest delays are in regards to a subdivision that they're requiring us to do – to give a two road lot easements to the County and also Water Department is revised – Water is adding a new water main and so they've asked us to go back, after our plans were essentially approved and revised – our plans to meet their requirement of their new installation. So we anticipate having a permit in hand within the next 60-days but I wouldn't want to bet my bottom dollar on it.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Any other questions of the applicant, members? Mr. Horcajo?

Mr. Horcajo: Not for that applicant actually. But, I guess, I want to make a general comment, and I guess to you Katharine. My understanding, and this is the same for SMA approval, is that if they're not going to meet the actual time, then they have to come forth and get an extension, which is, I guess, what the original letter was from Chris Hart to the Planning Department to ask for an extension. The extension of the two years to actually build if it said build within the two, and they did not get the permit, that would actually come forth – Planning Commission, MRA – and ask for an extension. But I guess my question more has to do not so much with this because if Erin is right and this is the only project that actually used the word “started” instead of “building.” My question more pertains to – we have a letter here from the Wailuku Association – we really cannot amend anything of the original approval right? We're just here to interpret this particular condition back then.

Ms. Wade: Correct.

Mr. Horcajo: Okay, I just wanted to make sure that was on the record.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Further to that comment, Erin, is the applicant asking for an extension or a waiver of this requirement?

Mr. Warren Suzuki: An interpretation.

Ms. Wade: Right. We actually –. If we interpret “started” to mean beginning construction which is how this is normally worded, the permit would have expired and there would be no permit to extend. They would need to begin again – a clean slate. There's nothing to give an extension to. If, through your interpretation, “started” means have in good faith begun the building permit process, then they can just continue along with their permitting process, and at the time at which we start to reach this five years, they would need to come along for an extension. If they haven't completed the project within five years, they would need to request for an extension.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Thank you. And Mr. Betsill, when did you apply for a permit?

Mr. Betsill: We had to, you know, of course, finalize the plans, the conceptual plans. It was about five months, I think, after we received the approval from the MRA that we worked through the architects and the consultants. And if I could just add one small item regarding the point that was made. In our compliance report, we noted that we felt the permit was the beginning, and that we were in compliance with it, and we didn't receive anything to the contrary. So this is all kind of a surprise to us actually at the last minute that we aren't in compliance with the MRA. So if you would help us out with the interpretation we would certainly appreciate it.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Thank you. Further discussion members? Ray?

Mr. Phillips: It, unfortunately, does sound like a little bit of the catch 22 and I believe that Mr. Betsill did proceed and progress as best he could on obtaining his permits. And if new things are coming in, specifically like a water main that's being directed through his property, he has to alter the plans, et cetera, et cetera, it's really kind of little bit out of his hands. But I think as long as we feel that he is progressing at the best rate he can with what he's got, and Erin seems to feel that that's what he's doing, possibly we should be able to assist the applicant.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Warren?

Mr. Suzuki: From my perspective, I'm not trying to be an obstacle to the requestor that's before us. But at the same time, I've always taken a position that you need to be very careful in whatever decision that you make because of an inside precedent that you might be establishing with whatever decision that you make. In my past experiences on bodies where approvals are granted, a lot of – and Corporation Counsel can correct me if I'm wrong – I say with a fair amount of consideration, a lot of consideration, is given to what the intent might have been, you know, at the time the meeting was held, and how the discussion went relative to a particular request that came before the body. So if the intent was that it was starting with construction, then I think you need to go back to that. So, my question is, at the time the meeting occurred, at the time the approval was granted, at the time this condition was made, what might have been the intent? Because if that was the intent back then, I don't think it's for us, at this point in time, to interpret an intent by a body that I would presume none of sat on at that particular time.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Any other comments? I will make a comment about what was going on at that time from my own personal recollection. At that time, there was a tax abatement ordinance that had passed, and the tax abatement ordinance allowed – it was actually to promote shovel ready projects in the MRA area and it limited to two years the time someone had to qualify. And it was around the same time, so the body that proceeded us may have been looking at that benefit to the applicant to be useful. However, I believe that ordinance has expired, so it makes it moot in the further development of this project. It's the only thing that I can recall in that time frame that would say something like you had to start within two years, and maybe it was related to the tax abatement ordinance. I agree with you that language needs to be very, very clear and plain spoken, and if that body at that time meant it to be construction then they should have said that. We, as a body today, are being asked by the applicant to make an interpretation today. So I guess we could call – we could get the minutes of that meeting and review them. Katharine?

Ms. Popenuk: I'm looking at this and it seems like a legal kind of an issue. What's in writing? We have to see what's in writing, right? It's always what's in writing, and then –

that the project shall be started – what does the project shall be started mean? And if we say one thing and it's something else, or if we say something else and it's the other thing, then to me that looks legal.

Mr. James Giroux: Yeah, let me just throw my five cents without getting blown up. As far as legal goes, when we're dealing with administrative law, the legal community always, first, looks at the expertise of the agency that has it. Meaning that if you're – you know, this is your permit, this is your kuleana sort of speak, whereas the assumption is that you know best than everybody. This is your – you gave the permit. So for the legal body, when we get caught in this, what we do is we look at it and we say, okay, can we understand this in English? If we can't understand it English, that means we call in our buddy from across the hallway and we say what does that mean to you. And he goes, it means construction. Well, to me, it means try start. Okay, that's called ambiguity. Ambiguity is when two people read the same word and they get a different answer. So when you get to ambiguity in interpreting statutes and interpreting constitutions and interpreting rules, we usually go to the default analysis of can we look at the legislative history and we try to get the intent. Is there anything surrounding this language, like, the other conditions that would lead us to believe that there is a rationale interpretation of this. And also, there's a legal foundation that says that we shouldn't interpret things in order to create a ridiculous situation. Meaning that we need to kind of step back and say, well, what would the most logical outcome be of this type of condition? So this is a situation where, you know, and I think that the body with the most expertise should kind of kick around and say okay based on all of this analysis what's the outcome that we want to see from this?

On top of that, outside of that, you know, you can look also at the ramifications. What's the ramification of each interpretation that you come up with? And in the law of equity, you always want to be fair, so that's another concept of interpreting the language. And I think the word good faith has been thrown around, and that's part of the language of equity – clean hands – you know, that type of concept. And then outside of that, you know, I mean, you're the agency that can make this decision. I don't think the Department has in a way shown their interpretation of it by remaining silent when seeing a report and not telling the applicant, hey, you're coming up against the deadline. Obviously the Department, the professional staff that helps you in decision making, has come to that conclusion. And I think we heard that today. So you could take all of that, throw it in a basket, shake it up, see what kind of vote you get.

Mr. Suzuki: Chair?

Ms. Betts Basinger: Warren?

Mr. Suzuki: Can I ask this question then? Because if you're to take that particular condition and interpret it such where they're suppose to start the permit processing, you know, within

two years, which is January 21st, of 2007, and complete the project by January 21st, of 2010, as we sit here today, I don't know if within a six month period, you'll be able to complete the construction. And this approval doesn't provide for any extension to be requested. So what happens then?

Mr. Horcajo: They come for an extension.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Erin?

Ms. Wade: At any time throughout their permit process there are – Well throughout what this provision provides in the condition, up until the five years of – completed within five years, up until that point, they're able to request an extension. So they would still be able to file and make that request if they wanted to do that. But that would be completely separate from this issue of interpretation. All that tells us is when to start the clock basically.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Again, that's why I asked whether the applicant was asking for an extension of this condition rather than an interpretation. An interpretation, I think, is going to be harder for us to make because we weren't the sitting body at that time.

Mr. Horcajo: So Chair?

Ms. Betts Basinger: Yes?

Mr. Horcajo: Just one last comment. I mean, I understand what Warren said about knowing or trying to get an idea as to what the previous board who were on this committee back then. But, I guess, for me, the fact that we have to look at what is in black and white, and it says started which I think we all realize it really should have said construction. But the fact that they had approval of the preliminary compliance report – so they are relying, since 2007, or whatever, 2006, on this interpretation that they're asking us in their favor. So for me, there's always a question of if the County gives you something in writing, whatever that maybe, you're relying on that before you go out and make decisions. There's been enough Court cases to show that. The private citizen has the right to whatever, you know. I mean, they kind of have investment in there. That's the way I look at it.

Ms. Popenuk: That was exactly what I was going to say. This from Clayton Yoshida dated October 6, 2008 accepts – Planning Department has reviewed and approved your preliminary compliance report, and I assume that's what this is, attached, Maui Redevelopment Agency approval preliminary compliance report. And in this report, condition one, it restates condition one, the project shall be started within two years of the date of approval – blah, blah, blah – to respond. The applicant submitted for building permits on August 16, 2006, well within the two year time limitation. So this gave the

applicant all feeling like he was on the right track.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Again, going forward and to be very clear in what we're doing, our mission and our work is to see this redevelopment happen. So, I mean, that's what we're gathered here today. The more concerned part of condition one to me is what Warren brought up. The second part of it, which says it must be completed within five years of the date of commencement, attached to the two year date of approval. I would have preferred if applicant was asking for an extension of condition one. Erin, what's involved in – can we do that at this meeting?

Ms. Wade: Not only can you not do that at this meeting – if you don't change the interpretation, there's no permit to extend, like I said before. So if you accept the Planning Department's recommendation for the interpretation to be started, meaning the building process, then they will need to come back to request an extension on a publically noticed agenda.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Okay, so, is it a matter of interpreting with words? So could we do something like that the permitting shall be started within two years of the date of approval, and construction completed within five years of receipt of the building permit?

Ms. Wade: Well, James, I'm sorry, do they need to actually amend the condition or do they just need to advise us that the interpretation would be that the construction process must be started?

Mr. Giroux: I think the way that it's been agenda it's – I think what we're trying to get here is clarity so what gets us to, you know, the goal. I think, first, you've got to start with the word "started" because that's really going to determine of what's going on with, I think, the Planning Department's processing of the permits. Because if they can't get pass the hurdle of started meaning start your process, then the Planning Department is going to have to take the position that we don't have a permit to process.

Ms. Wade: Exactly.

Mr. Giroux: So the project dies.

Mr. Horcajo: So, is this a motion item? I mean, can I make a motion?

Ms. Wade: Uh-huh.

Mr. Horcajo: So I make a motion that this body agrees with the interpretation of the Planning Department's staff relative to this project.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Is there a second?

Mr. Phillips: I'll second it.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Discussion? Warren?

Mr. Suzuki: Question James – again, as a matter of principal, I don't want to vote either way because I don't want to interpret for a body that made the decision when I was not there. So what position can I take because I know if I abstain, a lot of times it's interpreted as a yes. So what do I say so essentially I'm not voting on this particular issue?

Mr. Giroux: Well, your rules say that unless you have a conflict, your silence is going to be seen as a affirmative vote. So, in order to avoid that, you'd have to do the opposite. But, you know, as far as your concern about voting, you're basically not changing what the previous body did because you're seen as to be a morphosis. You're kind of part of this system that last lives, past life times. So if there was like a motion to actually change – you know if they had a petition to change that condition – because you're here, you have that jurisdiction. It's jurisdictional. And if they came to add a condition to this, you would have jurisdiction, whether or not you were part of the original process. So, I mean, if that takes care of your –. But what the agency, the department, is asking for you to do is to help them out because they kind of got into a little jam here. So you being the agency with the power to redevelopment, to develop Wailuku, they're asking you to make that decision and not have them held completely responsible for this decision making process.

Ms. Betts Basinger: I do have a question legally. So what this body votes on today will be recorded in writing so a future MRA will know exactly what we were interpreting and how we interpreted it.

Mr. Giroux: Yeah, and looking at this, it's going to be fairly unique. I mean, if you're worried about precedent, this is fairly unique because according Erin, she looked at all of the permits, and none of them have used this language – none of the active permits anyway – and so in the future if this kind of ambiguity will be cleared up because there's standard languages now such that there is clear language. And I think that's what the Chair was alluding at is if we're going to clear that up, why don't we clear up section two also. I think it's very important that you at least get past section one, the interpretation of the word started.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Further discussion members. All right, I'll call for the question. All in favor of the motion say aye?

Board Members: "Aye."

Ms. Betts Basinger: All oppose, say nay. It's unanimous.

Mr. Suzuki: I abstain.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Let the record note that Member Suzuki did not vote.

It was moved by Mr. Robert Horcajo, seconded by Mr. Raymond Phillips, then unanimously

VOTED: in concurrence with the interpretation of the Planning Department staff relative to the project.

E. UPDATE ON PROJECT COORDINATOR POSITION

Ms. Betts Basinger: Okay, thank you. Motion passes. Item E, update on project coordinator position. Erin?

Ms. Wade: I hung out at my office until a little too late today waiting for Roy Silva to call me back, so I don't actually have any update expect to share that the committee for the selection of the parking coordinator got together and reviewed the applications, narrowed it down to two primary consultants, and did interviews with those two top consultant groups. That committee came to a consensus and provided a recommendation to the Mayor's Office. The Mayor's Office has not -- I'm waiting for a response from them about what their time frame will be, so it is in process.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Thank you Erin. Any questions members about item E? Seeing none, we'll move on now to F, briefing on Maui Island Plan.

F. BRIEFING ON MAUI ISLAND PLAN (Dave Michaelson)

Ms. Wade: Unfortunately Dave Michaelson had to get pulled away for another activity this afternoon, and he asked if he could reschedule for the July agenda or whenever it's most convenient for you folks.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Members, I think we're all anxious, so I'm in favor of rescheduling. And his report was going to be specific to the General Plan's affects on our MRA region, so we'll reschedule.

Ms. Wade: Thank you.

G. DISCUSSION ON MAUI REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY WEBSITE

Ms. Betts Basinger: Thank you. Moving on to the Maui Redevelopment Agency website. I have had a chance as late as this morning to see the final layout of our new website, and it's gorgeous. We are actively recruiting for content now, so I'll be contacting Wailuku Main Street Association/Tri-Isle for their newsletter. I'll be contacting the Wailuku Community Association for their input, and other input from the community for our launch which we hope will be next month.

Mr. Phillips: Good. Perfect.

H. REPORT FROM MALAMA WAILUKU

Ms. Betts Basinger: And we move on now to item H, report from Malama Wailuku. Yuki Lei Sugimura.

Ms. Yuki Lei Sugimura: My name is Yuki Lei Sugimura, and thanks for letting me come before you. I would like to do a brief informational about what Malama Wailuku is about, and then to also ask for your permission – I think I need to do that right – to have our finished product which is a walking guide for Wailuku to be on the MRA website. So I'll briefly go into the project, and then I'll explain what we're trying to do.

The Malama Wailuku project is kind of designed after Malama Lahaina which is something that Theo Morrison has just done with Lahaina. And it's basically to educate the community, the merchants, about the benefits of Wailuku, and visitors of course. It's a big component. So the way that this project is set up, we are very fortunate in that the acronyms that I came up – well not acronyms – but what I came up with is to basically restore, renovate, educate and celebrate. So those are the three components of this project. It's a relatively small grant that was provided to us by the Office of Economic Development, Hawaii Tourism Authority. We also got funding from the National Park Service, and the Historic Planning section of the County of Maui. And what this would do is there was a brochure that was done in 1998 – some of you may have seen this – that Barbara Long did – and it's to update it. And the updating of it – because it's historical – the updating of it will primarily be the verbiage and then to add more information as we're going to increase some of the information or add from what we're getting from the Maui Historical Society. So in this brochure we partnered with the original graphics person to do this so that it will be less cost because she still has the information in her computer. And then Barbara Long who did this, who did the write up and research, she is donating her time to this project. And we also got the Maui Historical brochure so we're going to add historical information to it. So that will be this document. We're thinking of printing 25,000 of it, and somebody asked me why so many? And the reason is because we have funding to do it. And so I want to have this available to share with the visitors at the airport and different concierge around the island, as well as, so that merchants can have this and different people who have interest.

We're very lucky in terms of partnership and sustainability for this as Joe Myhand, who, from Bird of Paradise, he offered to store all of these boxes and then help us deliver to different places that may want the brochures in the future. And then this finished document would also be, if you would allow me, add it to your website, the MRA website. And I was just hearing the other day – I just heard from Deidra – the amount of hits the County website gets, and every month it's like huge. So I'm really more excited to be part of the County website if we could and have this as part of it.

I come before you to ask your permission to do this. We do have, as part of our graphic funding, we already did include that because back in 1998, that didn't even exist. So what the finish product will be is to, maybe, take colored pictures, since these are all old pictures from the Bailey House museum. But we'll try to update it to give it a little bit more color and fit your website, but to basically put the information there. Anybody has questions?

Ms. Betts Basinger: Ray?

Mr. Phillips: Thank you. Yuki, do you have more than one brochure?

Ms. Sugimura: I actually have two.

Mr. Phillips: The only thought is if we have an opportunity to review the brochure and then next time possibly give you a render judgement on it. Great. I'm sure it's terrific though. So which one is it you want to do?

Ms. Sugimura: Actually, it's going to look more like the green one.

Ms. Betts Basinger: But it will include much more information.

Ms. Sugimura: Yeah.

Mr. Horcajo: I've got a question Chair.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Yes, go ahead.

Mr. Horcajo: Thanks Yuki. Thanks for telling us about your funding and stuff. Is there any plans to having any kind of brochure box on the street, number one? I remember Darla was working on some grant from HDA last year to have a board or something that everybody – I don't know the size of it – two by four, three by five – has that been discussed as part of your grant or what happened to that grant? I'm just saying besides – it would be nice if it was somewhere on the street.

Ms. Sugimura: It's my personal opinion and maybe, you know, others might have – to do

something like that, you need to have it manned and in an office. So until Wailuku gets a visitor center, or sort of speak, something like that. That would be kind of hard. I mean, that sounds like a stand alone what you're describing and I think that will be, again, it needs to be manned or somebody needs to malama that so it doesn't get out of hand. But, we are planning to have it available for, hopefully, you know a lot of people go to Wailuku Main Street, and I`ao Theater, and all the different merchants like on the street. So that would be a good thing for it to be there until we get something like that.

You know the other part, and besides this, if I could talk about the part that really affects the MRA, in particular is this document. But the other part about this grant is what I have included in there is funding, because we have this availability of funding, for 13 banners on Market Street that are part of that new street light fixture. And right now, Market Street, the whole project hasn't yet been turned over from Diversified, the contractor, over to the County because they're still doing their check list. But it soon will, and when it does, then we were told not to put up anything until all of this transfers in full to the County. But that should happen within the next month I think. And there are 13 street lights that have this banner extension. It's two feet wide by five feet long – is our guess – so it won't hit somebody when they walk under it. There's this, and Bob you probably know what it's called, but there's this thing that you pull down, and it will hold down the banner. So right now, the contractor doesn't want us to touch it or even do anything because it may damage the paint, so that whole process has to be done very carefully. But we're going to standardize it. The County said they would do it for us, for the street, and we'll standardize it so that the banners will always be two by five. And if we come up with new banners in the future, we'll trim it all the same and we'll just kind of standardize that as a formula. This portion of the grant, I've asked Wailuku Community Association, and Alexis is here, and I've asked them if they would kind of champion that in terms of getting the designs, taking it to the community, getting the opinion, and of course, you know, because this is a County, it cannot have like a commercial logo on it or anything. It's really for the general Wailuku community so it has to be something very generic. But that's also in the grant in terms of funding that portion. And then if there are any small little renovation type projects that may come up, you know, that's also part of that.

Ms. Betts Basinger: So the banners, Yuki, would be under the kuleana of the Wailuku Community Association meaning that they would store them, install them, change them, what?

Ms. Sugimura: Well right now, we only have one banner, but in the future, if that comes up, yeah, that would be a request also.

Ms. Betts Basinger: And so, what are you asking of the MRA?

Ms. Sugimura: We just want you to know about it.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Thank you.

Ms. Sugimura: It's definitely right in the heart of your project area. So with Market Street improvement being as one of the action items in the Wailuku Redevelopment Area Plan, it definitely is part of the beautification, you know, whole look in terms of finishing it because that's just part of the design.

Ms. Betts Basinger: I know we shared a time about banners during the centennial celebration. So I have this question. On the street lights – and you might know Erin, Yuki if you don't know – in which direction do the banner hangers face – towards the street or toward the sidewalk?

Ms. Sugimura: Okay, right now the banners –. If this is the –. How do I do this? If this is the street light, and this is the sidewalk, they're like this.

Ms. Betts Basinger: So they face the sidewalk not the street.

Ms. Sugimura: So you're walking down – I guess – yeah.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Just like the benches face into the sidewalk.

Ms. Sugimura: Right.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Okay. So they face the sidewalk. So if you're driving, they're not facing the street.

Ms. Sugimura: Correct. They're not outside. Yeah, right.

Ms. Betts Basinger: They're facing the sidewalk. Any questions? Erin, comment?

Ms. Wade: I know that the Main Street Association has been working on the branding campaign for Wailuku. Have you folks been communicating relating to just having all of the materials, the banners, and the maps and things like that?

Ms. Sugimura: I don't know about a branding campaign.

Ms. Wade: Okay.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Yuki, it's going to talk about the benefits of Wailuku. And I know the green brochure and also the Bailey House brochure which is historic – it's historic sites on that walking tour – but you made reference to Malama Wailuku also benefitting the restaurants, the retail operations, the businesses, the citizens, and the residents of Maui,

so how would that, how would Malama Wailuku, accomplish that?

Ms. Sugimura: The value of resurrecting this walking brochure and having it available to people is to educate really the people who I call the heart and soul of the town to be able to feel proud of Wailuku and its heritage and its story basically. And I think when you work in – when you're there, doing your job, you're selling clothes, you're doing whatever it is that you're tasked to do, sometimes you don't look up and realize, wow, this is quite a place that we live in. And I think that's the benefit of this whole project, is to educate and to let people know that this is more than just a store. It's more than just your restaurant you know. Yeah, it's very, very special and different.

Ms. Betts Basinger: So that will be in the brochure?

Ms. Sugimura: Right. All the different sites.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Members, I think that we've talked about this before that the MRA website is going to partner with Malama Wailuku on our website so that they will have the brochure on our website, and any changes thereof that go with it will be part of our website as well. Any other questions? Yuki thank you.

Ms. Sugimura: Thank you.

I. COMMUNICATIONS

- 1. Letter dated May 8, 2009 from Susan Halas re: Proposed Public Municipal Parking Structure in Wailuku.**
- 2. Letter dated May 15, 2009 to Susan Halas from Brian T. Moto, Corporation Counsel re: Proposed Public Municipal Parking Structure in Wailuku**

Ms. Betts Basinger: Okay, communications, Item I. We have a letter dated May 8, 2009 from Susan Halas regarding proposed public municipal parking structure in Wailuku, and a letter dated May 15, 2009 to Susan Halas from Brian Moto, Corporation Counsel, regarding proposed public municipal parking structure in Wailuku. At this time I'm going to call for Executive Session with Corporation Counsel in order to discuss a response letter by Corporation Counsel to Ms. Halas. We need a motion.

Mr. Horcajo: So move.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Second?

Mr. Suzuki: Second.

Ms. Betts Basinger: It's been moved and seconded. All in favor?

Board Members: "Aye."

Ms. Betts Basinger: Oppose? None. Approved to step into executive session.

Mr. Giroux: Just on the record, it's for the purposes of talking to you about your duties, liabilities and such with your attorney. So when we go into executive session I want everybody to really just focus on what's in the letter and not stray. Because if we stray from this subject matter, then we lose our confidentiality as far as what is said in the meeting, and we will not be voting when we go into executive session. We'll come out executive session then vote on any action.

Ms. Betts Basinger: This body is executive session.

It was moved by Mr. Robert Horcajo and seconded by Mr. Warren Suzuki, then unanimously

VOTED: to enter into executive session.

(The Maui Redevelopment Agency convened into executive session at approximately 1:47 p.m., and reconvened into regular session at 2:05 p.m.)

Ms. Betts Basinger: Okay, the meeting of the MRA is reconvened and the order of business above, before us, is communications, Item I. We have reviewed the letters that were presented by Susan Halas. We have reviewed the response letter that will go out from the MRA, so I'm looking for a motion to approve or not approve the letter as presented by Corporation Counsel.

Mr. Suzuki: Madame Chair, I move to approve the letter as drafted and reviewed by us, have you sign it, and also authorize Planning Department to take it forward.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Thank you Warren. Second?

Mr. Phillips: I'll second.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Second by Ray. Thank you. Any discussion on the motion? Oh, no, I'm sorry, we can't do that.

Mr. Giroux: You can.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Outside of executive session?

Mr. Giroux: Yes. It's public.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Discussion? Seeing none, all in favor, indicate by saying aye.

Board Members: "Aye."

Ms. Betts Basinger: Oppose by nay. Approved unanimously.

It was moved by Mr. Warren Suzuki, seconded by Mr. Raymond Phillips, then unanimously

VOTED: to approve the response letter to Ms. Halas, as drafted by Corporation Counsel, regarding proposed public municipal parking in Wailuku.

Ms. Wade: And you should note, it's on your new letterhead.

Mr. Phillips: Oh, yes in deed. Very official.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Oh, yes. Did you notice our new letterhead, boys and girls?

Ms. Popenuk: My name is spelled wrong. Did you print a lot?

Ms. Wade: Nope, we can fix it.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Thank you. It will be fixed. Please check the spelling of your names.

J. PLANNING DEPARTMENT UPDATE

- 1. Update on Proposed Projects and Enforcement**
- 2. Cash in lieu update**
- 3. MRA Expenditures and Budget Update**
- 4. Wailuku Campus Space Study**

Ms. Betts Basinger: Thank you very much members. Moving on to Planning Department update, Item J. Item one, update – well you can go through the four items Erin. Thank you.

Ms. Wade: Okay, update on proposed projects and enforcement. The list hasn't change except for one request that we had for comment, and that's for an organization called Neighborhood Place of Wailuku to come into the Wailuku district, into the Happy Valley Hardware Store. That would be their location. I can pass around this brochure for you. I have another 15 days to comment on the status of. They're purchasing this building and utilizing it for community services, so if you have any feed back that you want to provide to me. Basically all I do is provide them in a letter form that we've received the information that you'll be coming to Wailuku and welcome to the district or something to that extent.

Mr. Phillips: Erin?

Ms. Wade: Yes?

Mr. Phillips: Do we know anything about this organization?

Ms. Wade: You know, I really don't know very much. I know it's a social services organization.

Mr. Phillips: So it's a legitimized organization that has a charter?

Ms. Betts Basinger: It is a very good organization. They are housed in Happy Valley. They work with underprivileged children and families, and they have some wonderful programs. They've been before us many times.

Ms. Wade: And as far as enforcement, we're investigating, right now, the Main Street Bistro is being renovated without permits at this time. And if you noticed, it probably wouldn't be such a big deal, but the original dentil work – there's a dentil band on the front – is being covered up, so that's in the enforcement process. And there's someone identifying public parking with a reserved parking sign right now out in front of their restaurant on Vineyard Street, so that's in enforcement right now as well. Sounds like Alexis knows about this.

Ms. Betts Basinger: What property is that?

Ms. Wade: It's the old Fuji Sushi on Vineyard Street. It's kind of kiddy corner from the existing Four Sisters Bakery, down the hill. Yeah, the blue building.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Was there a recent change of ownership for the Maui Bake Shop on Vineyard?

Ms. Wade: Yes there was, and maybe they know more information. All I know is it was sold.

Mr. Horcajo: Speaking of projects. Any word yet on Debbie Daniels coming forth again? Have you had any recent discussions with her?

Ms. Wade: Primarily she's waiting for us to figure out can we work something out with Public Works so she doesn't have to widen the road, and can we work something out with the Fire Department so she doesn't have to install a fire hydrant. So, those are the two issues that we're trying to resolve at this time. I did meet – because it's related to that project – I did meet with Milton Arakawa, Mike Miyamoto and Nolly Yagin yesterday regarding the road standards that we discussed. And I told them that in our strategic planning, one of the things you folks prioritize was the study for circulation, pedestrian and vehicular and parking. And they asked if they could be part of the drafting of the RFP, and I thought that would actually be really helpful for us. And maybe what we can do is request, as part of that too, some defined sections for each of the roadways, and I think that ultimately would be the best thing. So they're involved now in the development of –. As we start to sort of really formulate what exactly do we want out of that project. I'd like to have them be team – right on our team with us as we go through that process. So for thanks for asking. Are there any other questions about the projects or enforcement?

Ms. Betts Basinger: What is the status of the Hart Corner's project?

Ms. Wade: The Mynah Bird Pub?

Ms. Betts Basinger: Yeah.

Ms. Wade: They're still planning on opening. I understand, it's September is their target.

Mr. Suzuki: . . . (inaudible) . . .

Ms. Wade: I think he has, but I don't know.

Mr. Suzuki: Just for clarification. So just taking into consideration the Betsill Project. So language that is in the approval they were granted for the project that are under so-called pending list, specifically or clearly states construction.

Ms. Wade: Right. Correct. Yeah, we were all actually surprised to see it stated as started, so that's why I brought it here.

Ms. Betts Basinger: What is Richard Dan's project?

Ms. Wade: It's actually not a project at this point. He submitted an MRA application that says he'd like to do valet parking, but it's not signed by the owners of the property. It's not a complete application at this point, but the idea is valet parking. I've contacted him a

couple of times to see if he still wants to pursue it. Each time he says, yeah, you know, I should do that. It's a good idea. But it hasn't happened. Nothing has happened yet.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Yeah, he made a presentation before us. So this list of projects doesn't include all those that are still open. I know we went through a long time to get the closed ones off. But would it be difficult to have like Mynah Bird and all those that are still not completed on this?

Ms. Wade: Well, officially, their MRA permit is closed. They've completed the process. A decision and order has been issued. And they're following through on it. So if you have a request to see all building permits within the district, that's a different report.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Well, I just think that this body should be aware of the time line of projects that are in the MRA area, and if they're on schedule, if they're not. So if it's not too difficult to do.

Ms. Wade: I can ask Joe. Joe is much better at KIVA than I am, so I think we can figure something out. So, building permits is what you'd like to see?

Ms. Betts Basinger: Well, of all the projects that we've approved, all the development that we've approved, I'd like to follow it until it's completed, in some way, without having to just rely on my own memory and, you know, ask you. So if that could be just be part of this monthly project's report.

Ms. Wade: Okay. Sure.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Thanks.

Ms. Wade: I have asked the Department to put a GIS mapping on my computer so I can begin mapping the project locations as they come forward too, so we can have some idea of where the projects are, and by what type and that kind of a thing. So hopefully, I don't know how soon, but it has been requested.

Mr. Horcajo: So, Chair, just to clarify what you want. Are you suggesting different columns that one would kind of say when it got the building permit, when they started construction, when construction was completed, kind of? Three more additional fields?

Ms. Betts Basinger: Well, if the members want it that detailed, that's fine. I just really want to be able to have a status report on every project, development project, in our area. You know, if it's still in process or if they've started construction or if they've received their C of O.

Ms. Phillips: Yeah, what we might do, Alexa, is instead of having the caption columns, we could list the projects, like Mynah Bird, and have a little comment adjacent to it. And that might be inclusive of several items involved in the permit process. You know, applicant states that they're going to be approximately three months – something – it would be a paragraph – something that kind of gives us something. So it doesn't necessarily have to fall into these specific categories.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Just like a column called notes.

Mr. Phillips: Yeah. Comments and notes.

Ms. Wade: That would require a little bit more work on my part. I wonder if you would be willing to accept it quarterly instead of monthly so that I could be able to have the time to get that amount of information.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Is that something you're going to have to get from –

Ms. Wade: – the applicants. I'll have to call around and get the status because that won't be in our KIVA system. You're asking kind of what's your time frame, do you know when you're going to open. So those types of things I would have to make the contact with the applicant.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Okay, why don't you think about it and report back next month on, you know, a real efficient way to do it. Because we certainly –

Ms. Wade: Okay.

Ms. Betts Basinger: We're still going to hire a staff person, but until then –

Ms. Wade: You're stuck with me.

Mr. Phillips: You be it.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Any other comments folks on project?

Ms. Popenuk: I was just going to say maybe just – maybe whatever is on KIVA – just some kind – extract some kind of information from there that just tells us this project is like still in the pipe or buried and done or canceled or whatever.

Ms. Wade: Okay. Cash in lieu update. We have another meeting scheduled for the 24th. You'll note, it's scheduled for two o'clock so if you folks want to attend the Wednesday in Wailuku prior to that, you can.

Ms. Betts Basinger: We all got the agenda for that meeting. And I would add that, please read the minutes of May 27th. I think that we got them by e-mail today because for Ray and Warren, they'll really bring you up to speed on the discussion that happened last time, so we can move through it kind of quickly.

Ms. Wade: I have requested, because neither John Summers nor Joe Alueta can be in attendance at that meeting, that we discuss the full language of the ordinance with the exception of the fees, that we get everything ironed out. But the fees, maybe we can postpone until we've got a little bit more background and history because I wasn't here when the development of those fees was identified. I don't really actually fully understand the process on why they did it the way they did. So I think their participation would be helpful in the discussion.

Mr. Horcajo: Question.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Bob?

Mr. Horcajo: Ron Kawahara brought up the idea of the fees may be based on building permit value. Is there a reason we – there's somebody who has expertise in that area too so we can look at other avenues by which we charge?

Ms. Wade: That particular request, actually, isn't legal. Only because you're not basing the amount of parking needed to provided on the amount of parking being paid for. So you have to – it has to be one for one, so we actually can't utilize that function. So if you keep that in mind, though, how much parking is required and how much parking we're providing, that rationale in anyway that we slice it can work.

Ms. Betts Basinger: And I think, if you recall, and you'll be reminded in the minutes, a lot of discussion was spent on, really, clarifying the purpose of this ordinance. Cash in lieu ordinances are a great tool in the tool box with other tools, but it's not clearly defined. So I think once we clear that language up, it's going to be easier to make these legal decisions about how we charge.

Ms. Popenuk: Chair? I just wanted to remind everybody to take a look at the website links that were sent. I actually only got to read through one of them, but there's a lot of incredibly valuable information on how to actually do, how this all works.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Yeah, thanks Erin, that was good stuff.

Ms. Wade: Good. You're welcome. The next one is MRA expenditure and budget update. Did you send one around? Okay, I have one from Sandi. Here's a couple. The good news

is we were able to encumber the majority of your 2009 money. The bad news is –

Mr. Phillips: We don't have any.

Ms. Wade: I actually don't see this as bad news. We used it to pay the encumber the parking coordinator fee, which we have to pay in 2010 regardless. So I hope, is, because we've done it then, that freezes up the money for the 2010. What was done though was we paid \$76,500 instead of the \$45,000 we are anticipating because we covered the grant portion as well, expecting to be reimbursed by the grant. Whether or not we can work that out or if the grant pays back the general fund, is yet to be determined.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Well, and members, I fell on the floor when Erin called me to let me know because she had been working like a devil to get our purchase requests done – you know, new bike racks, new benches, et cetera – and all of sudden when she was placing the orders, she found out that we no longer had that \$88,000, that it had been earmarked for the parking coordinator which we are only suppose to pay half of in fiscal '10 budget. So I wasn't a happy camper at first, but the good thing is if we can be sure, and get it in writing, that we're going to be paid back the half, then we get a bonus over and above next year's budget of \$90,000. We're going to have an additional –

Ms. Wade: \$31,000.

Ms. Betts Basinger: \$31,000 that we would not have had going into the new. But now we have the job of a little bit more time to really focus on those things that we want to be a part in this community to purchase. And I know it was clear from everyone that the studies ranked very high. So our studies are going to be number one. We no longer have the luxury of this money for street scape improvements, perhaps, but maybe steal some of them. And I want to let you know that we're still working with the community on partnerships for some of the street scape and et cetera. So I did also ask Erin to find out if we could get, in writing, that we will be reimbursed. And so I'll let Erin share with us what she found out.

Ms. Wade: Well, conveniently or inconveniently, all the people that manage this money are on vacation this week. So they knew it was the end of the year and they took off. They didn't want to deal with it. So I'll be waiting to find that out. Probably in a week and half I'll have an answer for you. But, the actual delineation of the grant – I'm working with Jo Ann Inamasu to find out if – and I've already let her know that we're very concerned and want to be paid back what is not our portion.

The good news, like Alexa said, now we've got about \$121,000 to work with next year, we believe, which we only had \$45,000 realistically to work with because \$45,000 of it was encumbered for parking coordinator. So I think that's really a very good thing.

My feverish working was actually very positive. A couple of you requested bus stop improvements. And I had to get real familiar with the bus stop design and planning services, and I copied for you folks what's relevant to the actual stop designs. And just so you can have – they're saying what kind of shelters they are – because I was just trying to purchase benches for the stops. And I basically was dared to see if I could get the benches at the State Building because no one thought it could happen. We got approval in like four days of work that it took. So eventually, and you'll see that is a high priority. The State Building stop is a high priority for DOT. But ultimately that will be a good thing if we end up wanting to partner with something. It could be a real good showcase actually to show that the MRA is active in building things. So anyway, you have that information now.

Mr. Horcajo: So I'm sorry Erin, but that's not in the '09 budget right?

Ms. Wade: Correct. So we have spent your '09 budget.

Mr. Horcajo: We're kind of anticipating –

Ms. Betts Basinger: We were hoping it could have been in the '09 budget, but we have no money left. We're broke.

Ms. Wade: Well you're broke until June 30th.

Ms. Betts Basinger: July 1st.

Ms. Wade: Yeah. The other things I investigated were the corner of Main and Market, and the improvements there because due to utility conduit issues, the trees couldn't be planted in that location. So we talked with Chris Hart & Partners and they have alternative schematics for that. So that's all been kind of put in some initial works, if you determine you want to focus on that. I talked to the Police Department about any of their needs. Most of that includes some additional lighting primarily near Wells Park. That's where they're seeing their high rates of crime is near Wells Park because it's really dark. Oh, the letterhead was actually purchased as part of this year, so we got that one in.

Ms. Betts Basinger: And they all have Katharine's name spelled wrong.

Ms. Wade: No, it's not done. It's not printed.

Mr. Horcajo: I have a question on your Main Market comment relative to the two trees because of the underground irrigation line. Are you saying that Diversified is not being settled up with the County until an alternative tree planting scheme is created or –

Ms. Wade: That wasn't my understanding.

Mr. Horcajo: – County waived them of that obligation?

Ms. Wade: Right. They've been waived of that because the sleeve is approximately 18 inches below the ground and the trees that were prescribed, the pink tacoma, cannot be planted there. So Chris Hart came up with an alternative palm that doesn't have this problem. But one would still have to be in a planter and the other wouldn't, and I guess Public Works couldn't come to an agreement. Now, mostly though it was because of money. They didn't want to spend the additional money to do it. So that's were I thought this would be prefect for us to come and resolve the issue. But anyway, we still can. It just won't happen in the 09 budget.

Ms. Betts Basinger: There was some questions Erin on expenses that seem to still be ongoing from rented copiers in the old MRA office that were still being paid for and other things related to that office. Were you able to find out?

Ms. Wade: I was.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Great!

Ms. Wade: So the Maui Office Machines was to set up our old copy machine at Public Works and they took over the lease. The deal was they take over the lease for us if we got them to set it up, so that's what happened there. Commercial Properties – that was the final rent in July 08 for the office space. The Key Equipment – shoot – she told me what this was – it had something else to do with the copier. I apologize. I forget what that one was, but I get back to you. And then the final one was the Hawaiian Telcom, and actually Sandi found out that one of those \$225 got billed to the Mayor's Office, so this was actually smaller. We gained \$225 this morning which I immediately spend on letterhead.

Ms. Betts Basinger: So that last \$225 payment, August through September of 08, we were not in there.

Ms. Wade: Right. So that actually got billed to the Mayor's Office. And then the rest, you can see the dates.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Okay.

Ms. Wade: Other than that, the only pending payment that we have now is the Lokahi Pacific Pono Center, and that's going through for \$26.04.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Now everyone notice the last line item, municipal parking lot, \$76,500.

If you could put a note in there to be reimbursed. One half to be reimbursed because I'm not sure there was a definitive number or amount for that consultant.

Ms. Wade: I was e-mailed the contract this morning, when I was frantically trying to figure out what happened to our money.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Was that the amount? No?

Ms. Wade: I believe we are committed to \$45,000 for every fiscal year. That the MRA has committed \$45,000 for every fiscal year. Now I haven't completely read through, but at my first glance, that is what it looks like, so I can keep you posted.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Thank you. Okay, any other comments about our budget?

Mr. Horcajo: Just one last comment just for, I guess, the purpose protocol. If we have an issue, if we have a question like this, is it better that a letter comes from the body here regarding this grant issue or just leave it the way we're talking now where Erin will check with –

Ms. Betts Basinger: That's a good idea. And now that we have letterhead, Erin, so maybe you can make your requests formally on our letterhead.

Ms. Wade: Okay, that sounds good.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Thank you.

Ms. Wade: Well, the campus study was requested last meeting, and I did get a copy of it. I have not have the chance to burn it on CD. It's about this big. It's like 390 pages, so I'll burn it on CD for everyone that would like a copy. And please feel free to go through it. I have my own copy printed out, but each department has a bound copy. But beyond that, it's just everybody who wants to print it out for yourself, feel free. It's fairly extensive. It's the most recent one that was done by Munekiyo & Hiraga. So I'll try to send that out. I'll coordinate with Leilani to send that out in the next packet.

Ms. Betts Basinger: In the next packet. Okay.

Mr. Phillips: As a CD?

Ms. Wade: As a CD. Yeah.

Mr. Horcajo: I've got one.

Ms. Wade: Okay. Great.

K. Redevelopment Area Parking Issues (Sub Committee Report)

Ms. Betts Basinger: Okay, thank you so much. Item K, redevelopment area parking issues, subcommittee report. Robert? Katharine?

Mr. Horcajo: I guess I'm going to say the same as last meeting. I've talked with Erin. But I believe after our discussion last month, at least my thought was, let's wait until we get through the strategic plan process and decide whether that's something appropriate from this body. Does that make sense?

Ms. Betts Basinger: Or for the study.

Mr. Horcajo: Well, right.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Yeah, okay.

Mr. Horcajo: Based on what we all agree should be our plan for next year.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Very good.

L. Discussion on Strategic Planning

Ms. Betts Basinger: Discussion on strategic planning. So we did have an excellent meeting, I thought. I thought we got through all of it, but I saw the back side. But we're close and it was really, really – this body learned a lot. So I'm looking forward to the next one which we're changing the date on. Is that –?

Ms. Wade: I don't think we've scheduled.

Ms. Betts Basinger: We didn't. So, we'll reschedule our follow up, single issue, strategic planning meeting, and we'll all look at our calendars together there. And the next meeting for cash in lieu is on June 24th. Before we adjourn, let's set up the agenda for the next regular meeting which is on – what's the date for the next regular meeting?

Mr. Horcajo: 17th.

Ms. Betts Basinger: A, B, C, E. We'll have the Island Plan briefing?

Ms. Wade: Yeah. And as I asked you – Sergeant Orikasa – asked to come talk.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Yes. So, maybe H could be report from Sergeant Orikasa, our Wailuku Police liaison. Bob, did you want to remove the redevelopment area parking issue, subcommittee report? Unless you will have a report for the next meeting.

Mr. Horcajo: I guess, I'm thinking that it wouldn't harm it staying on, and depending when our strategic plan meeting is. Because if we have our meeting, our strategic plan second meeting, before the next regular meeting, and we decide to pursue it, and Erin and I can get together before, we'll have something to present.

Ms. Betts Basinger: Okay, so we'll leave K, L. Anyone else has something they would like to be part of the next regular meeting agenda?

M. NEXT MEETING DATE: Special Meeting on June 24, 2009 (Wednesday) to discuss cash-in-lieu ordinance.

N. ADJOURNMENT

Ms. Betts Basinger: Thank you very much members. At 2:35 p.m., this meeting is adjourned.

There being no further business brought forward to the Agency, the meeting was adjourned at approximately 2:35 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by,

LEILANI A. RAMORAN-QUEMADO
SECRETARY TO BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS I

Members Present:

Alexa Betts Basinger, Chairperson
Robert Horcajo, Vice-Chairperson
Raymond Phillips
Katharine Popenuk
Warren Suzuki

Others:

Erin Wade, Small Town Planner
James Giroux, Deputy, Corporation Counsel